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# Education and Children's Social Care Overview and Scrutiny Committee 

| Date of meeting: | 07 November 2023 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Council Tax Exemption for Plymouth City Council Foster |  |

## Purpose of Report

This report sets out the proposal for implementing a Council Tax Exemption Scheme for Plymouth City Council internal Foster Parents and Connected Carers who are approved by Plymouth City Council (Foster for Plymouth) for the 2023/2024 financial year.
It is proposed that Council Tax Exemption is considered alongside a proposed new Support and Retention Offer to Our Foster Carers which is being consulted on and developed.

## Recommendations and Reasons

The committee recommends to Cabinet that a Council Tax Exemption for foster carers is considered following the conclusion of the consultation on proposed new Support and Retention Offer to Our Foster Carers.

Reason:
This would ensure a clear and consistent support package is delivered to increase the number of new in house foster parents and to retain our existing, valued in house Foster Parents through an enhanced package of support.

## Alternative options considered and rejected

As above, the motion regarding Council Tax exemption was deferred to Scrutiny by Full Council, however we respectfully request that this is further deferred to be considered alongside a holistic package of financial and practical support for Foster Carers to aid in both recruitment and retention.

Relevance to the Corporate Plan and/or the Plymouth Plan
A key priority of the Corporate Plan is Caring for People and Communities and this has relevance within this priority area.

This is also a key area of relevance in the Plymouth Children's Improvement Plan.

## Implications for the Medium Term Financial Plan and Resource Implications:

Increasing the number of in house fostering households and approved placements is a key element of the medium term financial plan. Our vision is to ensure that the majority of children and young people in care can be placed in family placements (foster care) in or as close to Plymouth as possible as this provides the best outcomes for children. The proportion of children in care placed with in house foster parents against those placed with Independent Fostering Agencies is not in line with our comparators and is adversely affecting the placement budget.

## Financial Risks

Addressing the proportion of in house against IFA foster placements used will have significant impact on the placements budget. The proposals in relation to Council Tax Exemption are an Invest to Save proposal. There will be a cost to Plymouth City Council but this would be offset by other benefits.

## Carbon Footprint (Environmental) Implications:

There is an impact of additional travel due to social work visiting requirements and family time together where children are placed out of area.

Other Implications: e.g. Health and Safety, Risk Management, Child Poverty:

* When considering these proposals members have a responsibility to ensure they give due regard to the Council's duty to promote equality of opportunity, eliminate unlawful discrimination and promote good relations between people who share protected characteristics under the Equalities Act and those who do not.
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# Council Tax Exemption for Plymouth City Council Foster Carers 

Date: 30/I 0/23

From: Emma Speakman Service Manager and Karen Blake, Head of Service

## I. Reason for Briefing

This report sets out the proposal for implementing a Council Tax Exemption Scheme for Plymouth City Council internal Foster Parents and Connected Carers who are approved by Plymouth City Council (Foster for Plymouth) for the 2023/2024 financial year.

This briefing was originally prepared for DMT in August 2023 and has been amended for scrutiny. It is proposed that Council Tax Exemption is considered alongside a proposed new Support and Retention Offer to Our Foster Carers which is being consulted on and developed.

## 2. Context

Council Tax Benefit is means tested and generally has the same conditions to qualify as Housing Benefit (HB). Any fostering allowances are not eligible when making a claim for Council Tax Benefit and this includes any reward element that a foster carer is paid for their services. If a sole foster carer is caring for a disabled child, then they may also qualify for a Disability Reduction in their council tax bill of up to $50 \%$.

Council Tax deduction or exemption schemes have already been implemented within a small number of Local Authorities in the UK with a reported positive impact on the morale and retention of current foster parents, an increased recruitment of new foster parents and an increase in the number of foster parents transferring from Independent Fostering Agencies (IFAs).

Upon analysis of the yearly cost of external placements for children and young people, it is evident that Local Authorities are able to save a significant cost by reducing Council Tax payments from in-house foster parents if the in-house cohort is increased and the IFA placements reduced.

## 3. Research

Liverpool City Council is an example of a Local Authority offering full council tax exemption to their in house foster parents. They identified that they needed to recruit ten additional foster parents to offset the cost of introducing an exemption of council tax for foster parents. Having implemented their exemption scheme, Liverpool City Council saw 8 foster parents transfer from IFAs to their in-house Fostering Service within the first year of introducing the scheme, therefore almost hitting their target with IFA transfers alone. Liverpool City Council identified the key positive outcome from introducing this scheme was the positive boost to the morale of foster parents after receiving an indirect payment, reflecting the amazing work they do in protecting and supporting the City's most vulnerable children and young people. Liverpool Council looked to change their offer to make sure that foster parents only received an exemption once they had a placement, as they experienced carers who did not take a placement but received the exemption.

The following arrangements for council tax exemptions or discounts for in-house foster carers have been identified:

- Cheshire East Council - Full exemption
- Camden Council - Full exemption for those who live in Camden
- Waltham Forest Borough Council - $66 \%$ off council tax bill if foster carers live in Waltham Forest or a payment is given to cover cost if living outside of Waltham Forest
- Redbridge Council - discounted bills for foster carers
- Wokingham Borough Council - Full exemption
- Islington - Full exemption
- Liverpool - Full exemption


## 4. Proposal for Consideration

The proposal is to develop an offer which will help to attract and retain our own foster parents by exempting Plymouth City Council foster parents from paying council tax whilst looking after children who are resident in their households. Plymouth is proposing that to receive the full exemption foster parents will need to have consistently had placements for 26 weeks in the first and subsequent years following approval.

For respite only foster parents to receive $50 \%$ reduction they will need to have evidence they have had placements for a minimum of 91 days.

Plymouth City Council has invested significantly to support the recruitment and retention of foster parents in Plymouth through the development of a recruitment team and the recent review of payments to foster parents. The proposals within this report aim to build on this investment by improving our offer to our foster parents, giving Foster for Plymouth a unique selling point to families considering fostering and enabling some individuals who may have not felt able to foster or chosen another agency due to the financial payments in place. The aim is to make Foster for Plymouth the most attractive local option for foster parents and therefore increase our internal fostering capacity.

When children are placed in-house with Plymouth foster parents, our Fostering Team have a good knowledge of their carers' abilities and due to this, know that the children and young people will be provided with stability and good care resulting in good outcomes.

With a council tax exemption, we would be sending a message that as a Local Authority and as a city we value and celebrate the work that Plymouth foster parents do to make a vital difference to the lives of our most vulnerable children. If we can support more people to foster, this will ultimately benefit everyone in Plymouth by reducing costs for expensive out-of-borough care and enabling children to be placed in their home community.

## 5. Invest to Save Considerations

Table I: Council Tax Bands in Plymouth

| Valuation Band | Council tax 2023/2024 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Band A | $£ I, 407.7 I$ |
| Band B | $£ I, 642.33$ |
| Band C | $£ I, 876.95$ |
| Band D | $£ 2,1 I I .56$ |
| Band E | $£ 2,580.79$ |
| Band F | $£ 3,050.03$ |
| Band G | $£ 3,519.27$ |
| Band H | $£ 4,223.12$ |

The average cost of an in-house placement for one children in Plymouth is $£ 23,488$ per annum. The average cost of placing a child in an Independent Fostering Agency (IFA) placement per annum is $£ 53,289$ this is a variance of $+£ 29,801$ per annum.

Calculations have been completed on the current fostering households who meet the proposed eligibility criteria. The cost for a full year based on Plymouth's 2023/24 Council Tax rate would be $£ 224,530$. It is noted that there may be some households within this cohort who are already receiving some sort of discount, however the $£ 224,530$ should be the maximum impact on the cohort below.

If Foster for Plymouth were able to achieve our stretch target of an increase of 20 additional in-house fostering placements per year over the next 3 years ( 20 placements would not be 20 new households but on average new foster carers offer I or 2 placements, so we can estimate this would represent 10-15 new households per year). This would equate to an approximate additional cost of $£ 21, I I 6-£ 3 I, 673$ per year. These figures are a maximum projection and are based on all households that meet the criteria being eligible for a $100 \%$ exemption.

If the stretch target was achieved, the additional costs incurred by implementing this scheme would be offset by reducing number of children and young people placed with Independent Fostering Agency purchases and associated costs through the use of in-house Foster Parents. This proposal will also have the added benefit of creating capacity for more of our Cared for Children to remain close to their families, their homes, their schools and communities thus retaining essential local links and support networks.

In April 2023, Plymouth City Council had I59 children and young people placed in IFA foster homes with an estimated spend of $£ 54,99$ l per week. If this number of our cared for children were to remain in IFA foster homes, the approximate spend per year on IFA foster homes would be $£ 8,743,569$. The spend for the same number of children placed in-house based on average placement costs would be $£ 22,654$ per week, or $£ 3,601,986$ per annum. This equates to an annual variance of $£ 5,141,583$ year.

The table below identifies what additional capacity our recruitment team would need to achieve in order to offset the costs of exempting our current foster parents from paying council tax and a projection over three years approximate figures.

Table 2: Invest to Save Calculations Based on Cost Avoidance

| Period | Maximum Households eligible | Maximum cost to the LA (cumulative) | Additional built in fostering capacity (cumulative) | Cost avoidance of not placing with IFA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current Year | 137 | £224,530 | - | - |
| Year I | 15 additional households eligible | £256,203 | 20 children | 20 children <br> £596,020 |
| Year 2 | I5 additional households eligible | £287,876 | 40 children | 40 children £I,192,040 |
| Year 3 | I5 additional households eligible | £ 319,549 | 60 children | 60 children £I,788,060 |

## 6. Proposed Eligibility Criteria

It is proposed that foster parents who are approved by Plymouth City Council can get relief amounting to full exemption from paying council tax, where eligible. This exemption is in addition to payments of Fostering Allowances and is reviewed annually.

As a Foster for Plymouth foster parent, you will be eligible for full council tax relief if:

- You are fully approved as a foster carer by us and meet the foster carer training, support and development standards
- You have provided foster care to a child for a total of 26 weeks (182 days) in the previous 12 months from Ist April to $31^{\text {st }}$ March. This need not be 182 consecutive days or for the same child, and may include 'staying put' arrangements where the arrangement meant you were not able to accept the placement of another child due to bedroom capacity;
- You maintain your approval as a foster parent with Foster for Plymouth;
- You maintain your availability to foster;
- You either live within Plymouth, or live outside of Plymouth but were a foster carer approved by us as of the previous Ist April 2023;
- You are already claiming all relevant benefits and discounts to which you would usually be entitled;
- You claim this exemption only on the one property in which you live;
- You agree to receiving this council tax relief

These eligibility criteria also apply if we have recognised you as a 'connected person' - that is, a relative, friend or other person connected with a child who has been approved as a 'family and friends' foster carer at Fostering Panel (not Temporarily Approved Connected Persons under regulation 24).

For foster parents who are approved for respite only, eligibility for partial or full exemption will be based on the number of days of respite that you provide within the financial year:

- If you provide a minimum of 91 days of respite in the previous 12 months from ${ }^{\text {st }}$ April to 3 Ist $^{\text {st }}$ March (this need not be 91 consecutive days or for the same child) you will receive $25 \%$ reduction in your council tax relief or up to $50 \%$ reduction if you already receive a $25 \%$ reduction.
- If you provide over 182 days respite in any given financial year, full exemption will be considered as above.

Newly-approved foster carers can benefit from council tax relief when they meet all the eligibility criteria. This means you would have had to provide placements to children for at least 182 days in a 12 -month period from I April and 3I March.

Foster parents transferring to Foster for Plymouth from an IFA or other fostering agency will need to meet the same eligibility criteria. If you transfer with a Plymouth cared for child already placed with you, then the days the child has been placed with you will count towards the 182 days for eligibility for that financial year.

Eligibility is not back-dated.

## 7. Proposed Process

If a foster parent is eligible for council tax reduction or exemption and pays their Council Tax to Plymouth City Council, exemption relief from paying council tax will be automatic. You will need to agree to the Fostering Team sharing your information with the Council Tax Team.

If a foster parent lives outside of Plymouth but is eligible for council tax relief as set out in the proposed eligibility criteria. The foster parent will provide their supervising social worker with a copy of your council
tax bill. The foster parent will still be responsible for making their council tax payments to the relevant local authority, but Foster for Plymouth will reimburse in quarterly payments to cover the cost.
If a foster parent lives outside of Plymouth and are approved by Foster for Plymouth after Ist April in any given year, they will not usually be eligible until the 182 days eligibility criteria has been met. This may be reviewed on a case-by-case basis for transferring foster parents where the foster parent has already been caring for Plymouth children on a long-term basis and the council tax relief will contribute to stable care arrangements.

Approved foster parents moving in to Plymouth and not previously receiving council tax relief will become eligible when the other eligibility criteria are met.
Approved foster parents moving out of Plymouth will still receive council tax relief if all eligibility criteria remain met.

Approved foster parents who believe they are eligible but have been charged council tax, should write to the Fostering Team Manager evidencing how eligibility has been met.

Eligibility will end if:

- You resign as a foster parent for Foster for Plymouth;
- You decide to take break from fostering, but not resign, for more than 30 days. In this case liability for council tax will resume from the 30th day;
- You receive a qualifying determination for de-registration from our Agency Decision Maker, although you may get a refund if you make a successful appeal against the decision;
- You are a foster carer approved by another fostering agency but not by Plymouth City Council

If a foster parent ceases to be eligible they will pay council tax from the day eligibility ended. The Fostering team will notify foster parents when this applies.

If a foster parent lives outside of Plymouth and stops being eligible, they may have to pay back any overpayment of council tax relief you have received.

## 8. Analysis of Benefits and Risks

8.1 Benefits of implementing Council Tax exemption to Foster for Plymouth (in-house) Foster Parents

- Transfer of foster carers from IFAs who do not offer an exemption on Council Tax;
- Transferring of external agency foster carers will mean experienced foster carers may transfer. Narrowing the IFA payment fee gap;
- Unique selling point, which IFAs do not match or compete with;
- Positive publicity;
- Foster carers will feel valued by the local authority and their morale will be boosted and word of mouth recruitment will increase;
- Retention of foster parents will improve;
- Reduction in number of children placed at distance and through other agencies meaning that children maintain links with family network and community;
- Cost avoidance if this contributes to an increase of in house carers;
- Some fostering households will already have an exemption or reduction in their Council Tax payment and therefore the maximum cost to the Local Authority before saving will be less than the maximum figure stated.


### 8.2 Risks of implementing Council Tax exemption to Foster for Plymouth (in-house) Foster Parents

- Model based on cost avoidance, therefore will be an invest to save outlay initially;
- Complaints/ disruption from future in house foster carers who live outside of Plymouth and feel they are not being treated fairly
- Possible complaints/disruption from external agency foster carers living in the local authority who also have children placed by Plymouth. This is a lesser risk as there will be an opportunity for these carers to join Plymouth;
- Possible complaints from the general public


## Recommendation

The committee recommends to Cabinet that a Council Tax Exemption for foster carers is considered following the conclusion of the consultation on proposed new Support and Retention Offer to Our Foster Carers.

# Education and Children's Social Care Overview and Scrutiny Committee 

Date of meeting:
Title of Report:
Lead Member:

Lead Strategic Director:
Author:
Contact Email:
Your Reference:
Key Decision:
Confidentiality:

07 November 2023
Children's Improvement Plan and Highlight Report
Councillor Jemima Laing (Deputy Leader, and Cabinet Member for Children's Social Care, Culture, Events and Communications)

David Haley (Interim Director for Childrens Services)
Vivien Lines
Vivien.lines@plymouth.gov.uk
Click here to enter text.
No
Part I-Official

## Purpose of Report

I.I. This report sets out the Focused Improvement Plan that has been developed to drive the urgent improvements that are needed in the Council's children's social care service to improve the quality of practice and wider arrangements to keep children and young people in Plymouth safe and improve outcomes for them. The Highlight Report summarises the progress set out as of the end of September.
1.2. Plymouth's Children, Young People and Families Service had its last full inspection by Ofsted in 2018, achieving a grading of Requires Improvement, followed by a Joint Targeted Area Inspection in 2019 and most recently a Focused Visit of 'front door' services in December 2022.
I.3. The Focused Visit determined that the quality of social work practice for children at the 'front door' had declined and had serious and widespread failings.
I.4. An improvement plan was put in place immediately following the visit to address the concerns identified. In May 2023 the Department for Education issued PCC with a statutory Improvement Notice requiring all of the areas of improvement identified to be addressed by the Council and its partners.
1.5. The Improvement Notice required that PCC develop an Improvement Plan, establish an Improvement Board with an Independent Chair and report on progress against the improvement plan by providing data analysis and evidence of impact of improvements on the quality of services for children in need of help and protection. The Secretary of State also appointed an adviser for PCC to work with Plymouth until the SoS is satisfied that this is no longer required, i.e. that all areas requiring improvement had been addressed to her satisfaction.
I.6. Plymouth Children's Improvement Board has been established and is being chaired by Theresa Leavy, Director of Children's Services from Dorset Council. Dorset has been identified as Plymouth's Sector Led Improvement Partner (SLIP). The Improvement Board is now meeting six weekly with membership of Officers from across the Council, including the Chief Executive and Interim Director of Children's Services, the wider partnership and cross-party Members.
I.7. A governance framework for children's improvement priorities has been agreed and is in place to ensure that this Focused Improvement Plan aligns with other priority strategic plans in the

Children, Young People and Families Service and that together these plans set out how the Council, and its partners, will achieve their broader range of responsibilities to children and young people, including SEND responsibilities, and improve overall outcomes.
1.8. This Improvement Plan is focused on stabilising the service and setting out the actions that are needed for the next six months to deliver the priority improvements. The improvements will provide the firm foundations that are needed for the future.
I.9. Work is currently in place to ensure the actions are SMART, owned fully by the service and that the plan is clear about how improvements will improve outcomes for children and young people, when these improvements will be seen and how we will know that they are having the required impact. Service Plans will be refreshed by senior managers to ensure they align fully with the expectations of the improvement plan and progress will be monitored closely through the agreed governance framework.
I.IO. The plan has six priorities;

PRIORITY I - GOVERNANCE, LEADERSHIP AND MANAGEMENT
PRIORITY 2 - EARLY HELP AND FRONT DOOR RESPONSES
PRIORITY 3 - ROBUST AND EFFECTIVE SOCIAL WORK PRACTICE
PRIORITY 4 - AT RISK OF CARE, CARED FOR AND CARE EXPERIENCED CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE
PRIORITY 5 - QUALITY ASSURANCE AND AUDIT
PRIORITY 6 - A STABLE AND ABLE WORKFORCE

## Recommendations and Reasons

I. To accept the Improvement Plan and note progress in the highlight report.

## Alternative options considered and rejected <br> I. None.

## Relevance to the Corporate Plan and/or the Plymouth Plan

The Improvement Plan sets out priority improvements needed to improve outcomes for vulnerable children and young people.

## Implications for the Medium Term Financial Plan and Resource Implications:

The plan sets out improvements needed to children's social care practice. The improvements needed, in a context of increased levels of demand, have required additional staffing resources to be agreed. In addition, the service is experiencing the cost pressure of a high cost of placements for children in care.

## Financial Risks

Failure to improve children's social care can lead to considerable financial risks for the Council including staff turnover and inability to recruit permanent staff leading to an increased use of agency staff, and increased levels of anxiety amongst partners leading to higher levels of demand.

## Carbon Footprint (Environmental) Implications: None.

## Other Implications: e.g. Health and Safety, Risk Management, Child Poverty:

* When considering these proposals members have a responsibility to ensure they give due regard to the Council's duty to promote equality of opportunity, eliminate unlawful discrimination and promote good relations between people who share protected characteristics under the Equalities Act and those who do not.
There is a risk register in place setting out key actions in relation to risks including the impact on the Council and the community of Plymouth of failing to improve its children's services.
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## Children, Young People and Families Improvement Plan



## Improvement Plan Highlight Report

Reporting position - end of September 2023

## Performance Dataset

## Plymouth's child population:

From May 2023, the 2021 Census population is used as follows:

- 0 to 17 at 51,635 used for CYPFS rate per 10,000 children (1,656 less than the mid-202I ONS population estimate)
- 10 to 17 at 23,307 used for $Y J S$ rate per $I, 000 \& 100,000$ children ( 344 more than the mid-202I ONS population estimate)

Key for RAG rating against target and direction of travel:

| RAG rating for actuals against targets (it is not <br> appropriate to have targets for all indicators) | On target | Within $15 \%$ of <br> target | More than $15 \%$ <br> from target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direction of travel shown between current and previous month <br> (green indicates an improvement and amber indicates a decline) | - | v |  |

Please note: the Direction Of Travel indicator is based on whether increase or decrease is a positive or negative change.

# Priority I - Governance, Leadership and Management <br> Lead Officer: Service Director CYPFS 

## Commitment

The Chief Executive and Director of Children's Services will ensure that governance, leadership and management in Plymouth are robust and relentlessly focused on improving and sustaining outcomes for children, young people and families and that all recommendations from inspection activity and quality assurance are addressed.

| RAG Status |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Previous |  |
| Current |  |


| Heac ID | ine Performance Measures Description | Jul-23 | Aug-23 | Sep-23 | Target | Direction of travel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 62 | Early Help / Targeted Support - Child Level Caseload (where service is lead (inc Edge of Care)) | 195 | 207 | 190 | Monitor |  |
| 63 | Initial Response Team - Child Level Caseload (where service is lead) | 749 | 833 | 971 | Monitor | O |
| 64 | Children's Social Work - Child Level Caseload (where service is lead) | 732 | 735 | 737 | Monitor | $\overline{4 D}$ |
| 65 | Children's Disability Team - Child Level Caseload (where service is lead) | 102 | 112 | 120 | Monitor | $\xrightarrow{-1}$ |
| 66 | Permanency Service - Child Level Caseload (where service is lead (inc Fostering Service)) | 325 | 318 | 314 | Monitor |  |
| 67 | Care Leavers - Child Level Caseload (where service is lead) | 255 | 269 | 269 | Monitor | $4>$ |
| 68 | CIN, CP or CIC Children with missing or incorrect team (month end snapshot) | 5 | 2 | 2 | O | $4>$ |
| 69 | Percentage of Social Workers with more 20 children allocated (month end snapshot) | 52.0\% | 59.1\% | 47.6\% | 5.0\% | $\checkmark$ |
| 73 | Percentage of Case Supervision's in time - Care Leavers Service (month end snapshot (all workers)) | 87.8\% | 48.3\% | 7.4\% | 90.0\% | $\checkmark$ |

## Commentary;

63 - Additional Advanced Practitioners are in place in the Initial Response Service (IRS) in response to increased levels of demand. A focused action plan is in place to complete all outstanding assessments and reduce caseloads to acceptable levels over the next six weeks with weekly progress reporting to the DCS. A separate detailed report is being presented to the Board.
64 - a managed social work team has been commissioned to start early November to address the impact of increased demand and a high proportion of ASYEs and international social workers newly arrived in Plymouth are in place to ensure caseloads in the CSW service remain at acceptable levels. A strong Quality Assurance plan is agreed to have oversight of the practice and decision making in the managed team.
73 - due to long term sickness absence an additional Team Manager has been agreed for the Leaving Care Service to ensure supervision is completed in accordance with practice expectations. Weekly monitoring and Head of Service oversight is in place to ensure rapid progress.

Please note: KPls marked as Monitor are snapshot throughput measures. Whole system caseload is reviewed through the ChAT tool. The Direction Of Travel indicator is based on whether increase or decrease is a positive or negative change.

## Priority I - Governance, Leadership and Management

Lead Officer: Service Director CYPFS

CITY COUNCIL

| Project Milestones - (Month) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Workstream | Owner | Status | DoT | This period | Next period |
| Ensure Elected Members are wellinformed | Director of Children's Services |  | - | Engagement and briefing systems are embedded now as business as usual. | Elected Members are invited to be involved in activities during Practice Week in November where the focus is on reviewing the quality of practice through the eye's of a child. |
| Workforce involvement in Improvement | Service Director CYPFS |  | - | DCS led whole Service Events have taken place during Sept. to engage staff in improvement planning. Managers are engaged in improvement including through 'Leaders for Excellence' development sessions and in refreshing service improvement plans to ensure they align with this Improvement Plan. | A 'plan on a page' is planned to help staff understand the priorities in this Improvement Plan and will be presented to service meetings during November alongside engagement in theo development of priorities for the next phase the plan. |
| Corporate resources are aligned to support children and young people | Chief Executive |  | $\wedge$ | A priority resourcing plan was agreed, and specific additional capacity needed has been being recruited this month. Additional Advanced Practitioners are in place in Initial Response Teams and the managed social work team will commence at the very start of November. Other additional roles identified in the TOM are being recruited to and interim resources retained and appointed. | Recruit to remaining posts, including a Programme Manager. Ensure additional resourcing impacts on specific agreed priorities. |
| The service operating model provides the conditions for high quality services | Service Director CYPFS |  | - | Recruitment to key posts in the Quality Assurance function has progressed and 2 Practice Leads have been recruited to enhance audit capacity and completion of planned QA activity. The Additional SM is in post in CSW to enable the Disabled Children's Team to transition at the end of the October. | Implement forward plan for QA activity to include continued auditing and sampling of the Front Door. <br> Ensure completion of follow through of actions from audits and dip sampling to 'close the loop' on practice improvement. |

# Priority 2 - Early Help and Front Door responses 

Lead Officers: HoS Targeted Support / HoS Front Door

CITY COUNCIL

## Commitment

Children and young people will receive timely and appropriate help which will prevents escalation (or rereferral) to statutory services.

| RAG Status |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Previous |  |
| Current |  |


| Head | Performance Measures |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID | Description | Jul-23 | Aug-23 | Sep-23 | Target | Direction of tradel |
| 4 | Number of children stepped down from Child In Need to Early Help (in month) | 5 | 27 | 5 | Monitor | 佱 |
| 7 | Percentage of MASH Contact decisions made within one working day (in month) | 12.2\% | 52.2\% | 58.2\% | 90.0\% | $\stackrel{1}{6}$ |
| 10 | Percentage of re-referrals within previous 12 months (rolling 12 months) | 18.7\% | 18.6\% | 18.3\% | 23.0\% | $\nabla$ |
| 14 | Percentage of strategy discussions held within one working day of referral (where a Strategy Discussion was deemed necessary) | 50.0\% | 51.6\% | 2.4\% | 90.0\% | $\nabla$ |

## Commentary:

7 - All contacts into the MASH inbox are reviewed within 24 hours to ensure they are responded to in the appropriate timescale given the nature of the safeguarding concern and risk. This indicator is recording the proportion of contacts which have an outcome decision within 24 hours and improvement has been maintained.
14 - This indicator is impacted by the timeliness of record being placed on the system for which is business support solution is being identified.

Please note: the Direction Of Travel indicator is based on whether increase or decrease is a positive or negative change.

## Priority 2 - Early Help and Front Door responses

Lead Officers: HoS Targeted Support / HoS Front Door

CITY COUNCIL

| Project Milestones - (Month) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Workstream | Owner | Status | DoT | This period | Next period |
| An agreed understanding and vision of Early Help across the City | HoS <br> Targeted <br> Support and <br> Youth Justice |  | - | The revised threshold document was approved at the Sept Partnership Board and well attended and well received multi-agency training has been taking place since. Link workers are in place with all schools and initial visits are taking place focused on inclusion KPIs. Increase in early help referrals being seen. | Continue partnership thresholds training events. Continue school visits by Early Help lead workers. Increase take up of Family Group Conferencing and Mediation Services at an early help stage. Progress Missing and Exploitation Strategies and agree and implement a revised Neglect strategy and continue GCP2 training. Determine solution to recording requirements. |
| Children and young people are safe in our communities, and we identify and respond to children at risk of exploitation and crime | HoS <br> Targeted <br> Support and <br> Youth Justice |  | - | Continued good progress in reducing first time entrants. Effective tracking and multi-agency response to identified child exploitation risks. Improved performance on Return Home Indicators including continuing to offer over 95\% RHIs within 72 hours. Training on Outcomes Star being delivered to improve evidence of impact on outcomes. | Complete the national Missing Benchmarlios Tool and complete revisions to Missing and Exploitation Strategy. Determine solution to data/recording requirements. |
| Develop and deliver effective Front Door arrangements. | HoS Front Door |  | - | Dorset SLIP review in September confirmed continued improvement and sustained progress. MASH continue to work in 'live time' with no backlog. MASH Manual is complete. New MASH consultation line is now operational. Staff morale continues to improve. Strategic and Operational MASH Boards now in place and will support the focused programme of QA within the service, including use of consent, information sharing and strategy meetings. Additional APs recruited to IRTs to address assessments awaiting a response. | The MASH practice manual to include practices out of hours. Dorset SLIP to attend MASH Boards and review IRS response plan. Continue focused work in IRS to complete outstanding assessments. Sustain planned QA activity, including with partners, and identify and act on key findings. Monitor and respond to case escalations. |

# Priority 3 - Robust and effective social work practice 

Lead Officer: Service Director - CYPFS

## Commitment

Children and young people will receive consistently high-quality social work practice and assessments and plans will ensure that purposeful work is undertaken so that children are safe, and drift is prevented. Children and young people will have greater involvement in the planning and delivery of their care.

| RAG Status |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Previous |  |
| Current |  |


| Head | ine Performance Measures Description | Jul-23 | Aug-23 | Sep-23 | Target | Direction of traval |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | Rate of Children subject to a Child Protection Plan (CP) per 10,000 children (month end snapshot) | 39.9r | 39.1r | 39.1r | $\begin{gathered} 42.1 \mathrm{r} \\ \text { England } \end{gathered}$ | U |
| 21 | Rate of Children in Care (CIC) per 10,000 children (month end snapshot) | 94.7 r | 92.8 r | 94.1r | 70.0r England | $\begin{gathered} \bar{D} \\ \sim \\ N \end{gathered}$ |
| 22 | Percentage of single assessments completed within 45 working days (in month) | 62.3\% | 55.0\% | 55.8\% | 90.0\% | - |
| 23 | Percentage of single assessments completed within 45 working days (year to date) | 71.5\% | 66.8\% | 64.9\% | 90.0\% | $\nabla$ |
| 25 | Percentage of Initial Child Protection Conferences held within 15 working days of Strategy Discussion (in month) | 86.7\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 90.0\% | 1 1 |
| 26 | Current children subject to Repeat Child Protection Plans within 2 years | 9.2\% | 8.9\% | 12.3\% | $\begin{gathered} 10.5 \% \\ \text { Ofsted Good+ } \end{gathered}$ | - |
| 31 | Percentage of PLO (Public Law Outline) concluding within 16 weeks | 27.3\% | 5.3\% | 50.0\% | 90.0\% | - |

## Commentary:

22/23: this is as a result of the increase in demand in IRS and the completion of outstanding assessments. This indicator will continue to be closely monitored and tracked until the targeted action plan is complete.
31: this relates to two families (four children) whose pre-proceedings ended during Sept. where 2 of these open to the Disabled Children's Team had been in place more than 16 weeks when they ended.

Please note: the Direction Of Travel indicator is based on whether an increase or decrease is a positive or negative change.

## Priority 3 - Robust and effective social work practice

Lead Officer: Service Director - CYPFS

CITY COUNCIL

| Project Milestones - (Month) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Workstream | Owner | Status | Dot | This period | Next period |
| Review and relaunch Practice Standards | Service <br> Director <br> CYPFS |  | - | Refreshed Practice Standards with a video from young people have been shared at the 'Leaders for Excellence' session this month and are being cascaded through team meetings. | Continue to cascade Practice Standards through team meetings. Fortnightly Leaders for Excellence sessions will focus on specific elements of the practice standards, in October this is visits and SMART planning and IROs are also attending. |
| Children at risk of harm are identified and responded to without delay | HoS Front Door and HoS Quality Assurance and Safeguarding |  | , | Revised Assessment Training being delivered to teams. $100 \%$ of ICPCs were held in time. IROs have introduced pre-conference consultations during S47 enquiries to support decision making. Additional APs in place to progress assessments in the IRS. Children in Need tracker meetings are in place. | Prioritise ASYEs and new international SW/ starters for assessment training. Leade for Excellence session to focus on visits© The CIN Framework will be reissued with SM lead. |
| Public Law Outline and Pre- <br> Proceedings process | HoS Children's Social Work |  | - | Terms of reference are being developed for the monthly pre-proceedings review meetings which continue to ensure timely support and intervention to children in PLO. Progress and actions are tracked by the Court Progression Officer leading to more timely use of pre-proceedings, only 6 children (3 families) are currently over 16 weeks. Planning is on track for the first Unborn Baby Panel. | Continued focus on timeliness and quality of PLO practice. Dip sampling to ensure Pre-proceedings letters are saved on children's files. Unborn Baby Panel is in place with a timeline to review all 54 unborn babies open in service. |
| Supervision and management oversight | Service Director |  | , | Managers are using live data within teams to manage practice. Supervision expectations are included in the Practice Standards which has been reissued at Leaders for Excellence, Performance data has been reviewed at QAPIB, and expectations set. Senior Managers are tracking progress at service Performance Boards and clinics. | Additional TM to be appointed in Leaving Care to ensure supervision expectations are met. Progress on obsessions to be monitored at SMT/QAPIB. Increased completion of audit and dip sampling and reporting of findings. Session on line of sight' at Leaders for Excellence. <br> Development of leadership/management development offer for managers to Team Managers and equivalent level.. |

# Priority 4 - At risk of Care, Cared for and Care Experienced Children and Young People 

## Lead Officer: HoS Permanence

## PLYMOUTH

CITY COUNCIL

## Commitment

Children and young people will remain cared for safely within their family networks wherever possible. When necessary, they will have access to alternative homes close to Plymouth and have access to a range of effective services and support that promote stability, permanence, educational success, and positive health outcomes. Care experienced young people will experience trusting relationships with us and support to enable them to be safe and develop into successful adults.

| RAG Status |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Previous |  |
| Current |  |


| Headline Performance Measures |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID | Description | Jul-23 | Aug-23 | Sep-23 | Target | Direction of travel |
| 29 | Number of Safer Me Assessments undertaken in month | 2 | 6 | 2 | Monitor |  |
| 30 | Children open to services with a Safer Me 'High Risk' status (month end snapshot) | 7 | 7 | 9 | Monitor | $0$ |
| 34 | Number of unregistered placements - Under 16 (month end snapshot) | 3 | 4 | 3 | 0 | - |
| 35 | Number of unregistered placements - Age 16+ (month end snapshot) | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | $\omega$ |
| 36 | Number of residential placements (month end snapshot) | 55 | 51 | 49 | 50 | $\checkmark$ |
| 37 | Number of unplanned admissions to care (in month) | 2 | 1 | 9 | 0 | - |
| 41 | Short Term Placement Stability (month end snapshot) | 8.6\% | 9.6\% | 9.7\% | 10.0\% | - |
| 42 | Long Term Placement Stability (month end snapshot) | 71.0\% | 69.6\% | 72.1\% | 70.0\% | - |
| 43 | Percentage of Initial Health Assessments offered admissions to care within timescales (where required) Note: Relates to children who entered care during the previous month | 83.3\% | 62.5\% | 50.0\% | 95.0\% | $\checkmark$ |
| 44 | Percentage of children in care with health checks up to date | 78.7\% | 76.5\% | 80.5\% | 95.0\% | - |
| 45 | Percentage of children in care with dental checks up to date | 47.1\% | 50.2\% | 53.7\% | 95.0\% | - |
| 46 | Percentage of children in care with optical checks up to date | 71.9\% | 74.3\% | 77.9\% | 95.0\% | - |
| 47 | Percentage of children in care with immunisations up to date | 66.5\% | 66.1\% | 67.8\% | 95.0\% | - |

## Commentary:

34/35; 5 young people currently placed in unregistered arrangements. 2 have placements identified, (I is moving to Falcon Lodge) and 3 YP do not yet have a registered placement identified.
37: these 9 children have all been reviewed by the Service Director and the care entry was confirmed as appropriate for all children.
43-47; please refer to separate Board report.
Please note; the Direction Of Travel indicator is based on whether increase or decrease is a positive or negative change.

# Priority 4 - At risk of Care, Cared for and Care Experienced Children and Young People 

Lead Officer: HoS Permanence
CITY COUNCIL

| Project Milestones - (Month) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Milestone | Owner | Status | DoT | This period | Next period |
| Children continue living with their families where possible. | Service Director CYPFS |  | - | Children's Resource Panel and Overview Panel is operating in accordance with revised and extended ToR to ensure appropriate decision on care entry and Service Director tracking and oversight of priority groups. | Continue close tracking of progress for priority groups to ensure that children remain living with their families wherever possible and do not come into care. Provide evidence of outcomes for children where care is prevented. |
| Children who cannot remain in their family will live in the right home, close to Plymouth | HoS <br> Permanence |  | - | All children reviewed to ensure permanence plans clear and opportunities for reunification, SGO or Care Order discharge identified and progressing. Revised Sufficiency Strategy, being completed fostering recruitment plan, SGO offer being finalised. Confirmed involvement in Mockingbird support model for foster carers. Merrivale Project opened this month and 7 young people moved in. Falcon Lodge are awaiting Ofsted registration following positive visit. | Ensure identified child level actions progress 0 through close monitoring and tasking. Businees case for Special Guardianship Offer to SMT orD 6/II and DMT on I5/II. Sufficiency Strategy pod Fostering Recruitment Plan to be finalised and $\triangle$ implemented. Fostering support/retention plan to be revised including Mockingbird and enhanced support model. Falcon Lodge to open. |
| Outcomes for cared for children | HoS <br> Permanence |  | - | Increased use of 'MoMo'. Updated Health offer incorporated in the revised local offer, codesigned with the Listen \& Care Councils through the VOICE group. | Train the trainer model to support further increase in MoMo usage. Health to present report on health outcomes for CiC to November Board. November Practice Week to focus on voice of child. Virtual School included in SLIP work in October. |
| Outcomes for care experienced young people | HoS <br> Permanence |  | - | Merivale opened and Ofsted visit to Falcon Lodge complete. Numbers of care leavers not in unsuitable accommodation improved. Local Offer to Care Leavers updated. Planning for events with potential employers. 'Your Future' programme launched and four YP attended. | Additional TM appointed to cover sickness and ensure supervision taking place. Young people to move into Falcon Lodge once registration confirmed. More young people to be engaged in 'Your Future' programme. |

## Priority 5 - Quality Assurance and Audit

Lead Officer: HoS Quality Assurance and Safeguarding

## Commitment

Children and young people will benefit from a culture of continuous improvement and quality assurance that ensures the best possible outcomes and experiences for them.

| RAG Status |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Previous |  |
| Current |  |


| Headline Performance Measures |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID | Description | Jul-23 | Aug-23 | Sep-23 | Target | Directo of trayel |
| 54 | Timeliness of Reviews of Child Protection Plans (month end snapshot) | 99.5\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 95.0\% | 4 ¢ |
| 55 | Timeliness of Reviews of Children in Care (month end snapshot) | 93.0\% | 91.7\% | 91.1\% | 95.0\% | N |

Please note; the Direction Of Travel indicator is based on whether increase or decrease is a positive or negative change.

# Priority 5 - Quality Assurance and Audit 

Lead Officer: HoS Quality Assurance and Safeguarding

CITY COUNCIL

| Project Milestones - (Month) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Milestone | Owner | Status | DoT | This period | Next period |
| Implement the new Children's Services Quality Assurance Framework | Head of QA and Safeguarding |  | $\wedge$ | Recruited 2 interim practice learning reviewers to evidence our practice and support with practice development with an appointment planned for Oct and further interviews planned. Expectations have been set for completion of Sept/Oct audits. Programme of planned audit and dip sampling is progressing well. Audit forward plan implemented including dip sampling and auditing of the impact of improvements in Front Door services and children on the Edge of Care. Planning for Practice Week progressing well. | Increase the number of audits that are taking place, capture and share learning from audits and ensure the audit loop is closed and impact measured. Practice reviewers to commence in post. <br> Practice week scheduled for November. |
| Independent Chairs and IROs will support improved outcomes for children and young people | Head of QA and Safeguarding |  | , | Implemented additional expectations to complete midpoint reviews and pre-conference consultations to improve decision making and support the timely progress of plans. Reset expectations regarding using the "Resolution and Escalation process' Included in 'Leaders for Excellence' development programme. | 'Leaders for Excellence' sessions on service planning, line of sight and SMART planning. Development of targeted development offer by the Academy. Increased audit and dip sampling to evidence impact on new approaches. |
| Allegation management | Head of QA and Safeguarding |  | $\triangle$ | Interim LADO commenced in post on II Sept. Current review of working practices and data recording being undertaken. | LADO function included in the SLIP work programme. |

## Priority 6 - A Stable and Able workforce

Lead Officer: Service Director - CYPFS

## Commitment

Children and young people will benefit from a stable and skilled and supported workforce which provides high-quality, effective support, and interventions that meet their needs and aspirations.

| RAG Status |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Previous |  |
| Current |  |


| Headline Performance Measures |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID | Description | Jul-23 | Aug-23 | Sep-23 | Target | Dire ction of terel |
| 69 | Percentage of Social Workers with more 20 children allocated (month end snapshot) | 52.0\% | 59.1\% | 47.6\% | 5.0\% | ก |
| 76 | Turnover rate for Social Workers (month end snapshot) | 15.4\% | Not available | 15.4\% | 17.0\% | 41 |
| 77 | Vacancy rate for Social Workers (month end snapshot) | 11.0\% | Not available | 12.6\% | 18.5\% | - |
| 78 | Sickness Average Days Lost Per FTE (Rolling Year) - CYPFS department as a whole | 11.06 | 11.78 | 11.25 | 7.00 | $\nabla$ |

## Commentary

69: Additional capacity has been agreed for parts of the service experiencing increased demand, including 6 Advanced Practitioners in the Initial Response Service and a managed team of social workers in the Children's Social Work Service. The plan for reducing caseloads is set out in the Board report on MASH and IRS.

Please note the Direction OfTravel indicator is based on whether increase or decrease is a positive or negative change.

## Priority 6 - A Stable and Able workforce

Lead Officer: Service Director - CYPFS

| Project Milestones - (Month) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Milestone | Owner | Status | DoT | This period | Next period |
| Effective recruitment and retention | Service Director CYPFS |  | - | Retention payments for the CSW teams implemented in Sept pay packets to reward Social Workers who remain working for Plymouth in the CSW teams. Social worker registration payments agreed for all social workers. 12 International Social worker started this month with a targeted induction offer and protected caseloads. A high proportion of ASYEs and ISWs in CSW Teams (50\%) impacts on caseholding capacity for complex work in the medium term. | Continue induction and targeted Work Force Development with ASYEs/ ISWs. Progress the Early Career Framework for ASYE's in year 2 and 3. <br> Recruit to remaining vacancies in agreed areas. |
| Additional interim capacity to reduce overall workloads | Service Director CYPFS |  | - | Recruitment to additional capacity agreed across the IRS and CSW service has progressed. The 6 additional APs are in post in the IRS. | A focused plan for IRS will lead to reduogd caseloads in the service and agreed progress in completion of outstanding assessments. The managed team for CSW will commence at the start of November and a QA plan is in place. |
| Workforce Strategy | HROD |  | , | Revised Children's Workforce Strategy is in development. | A draft Children's Workforce Position Statement with an HR scorecard will be presented to CSTB in October and then finalised and shared with managers and staff. An action plan will be developed. |
| Workforce learning and development | HoS Quality Assurance and Safeguarding |  | , | Review of restorative practice training to inform the development of a refreshed offer in progress (meeting with Mark Finnis). Academy is reviewing WFD offer to ensure it aligns with improvement priorities. High proportion of ASYEs and newly arrived international SWs are a priority group for targeted WFD. Management development in place through Leaders for Excellence sessions. | Progress recruitment of Policy Officer to review all policies and procedures. Continue targeted training with priority teams and groups. Plan and deliver two more Leaders for Excellence sessions on visits, plans and 'line of sight'. Develop leadership/management development offer. |

 and families and that all recommendations from inspection activity and quality assurance are addressed.

## To be good;

The leadership
of the council,
including the
chief executive,

2.I.3 Develop refreshed partnership multi-agency Early Help induction / PSCP/HoS TS workshops that includes Family Group Conferencing and mediation.
2.1.4 Deliver regular multi-agency Early Help workshops across the children's workforce
2.1.5 Allocate School Link Workers to schools and arrange initial meetings HoS TS to set the baseline for children's attendance and well-being
enecuve carly merp a
Quality, Planning and providing a central intelligence focus for th business planning and infrastructure
requirements of
Children's Services that
benefit from.
4.2解 and additional Service Managers

Improved QA compliance and overall quality of practice Improved evidence of timely effective management

Reduced caseloads, increased quality of social work practice, reduced numbers of social workers for children. Early Help being more readily available, A relentless focus on QA , including directly to schools.

Children with Disabilities will access the right level support and intervention matched to their need

On track - Recruitment to the QAPP function and progress.

On track - The additional agency Service Manage has started in Children's Social Work and the transition of the DCT to CSW is planned for the end of the October.
Planned for October

## PRIORITY 2 - EARLY HELP, AND THE FRONT DOOR; Early Help and Front Door services provide timely and appropriate help to children and their families which prevents escalation (or re-referral) to statutory services.


 understand thresholds. This leads to children and families receiving effective, proportionate and timely interventions, which improve their situation.

| Risk register reference | Desired Outcome |  | Actions | Lead | Timeframe |  |  |  |  |  |  | How will we measure the difference to children and young people? | Monthly HoS update | RAG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{23}$ 3.sep | 23.0ct | 23-Nov | 23-Dect | 24.an | 24-Feb | $24 . \mathrm{Mar}$ |  |  |  |
| EARLY HELP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CYPF_RSK_8 | 2.1 There is an agreed understanding and vision of each partners role in the provision of Early (Family) Help in the city which prevent avoidable escalation to statutory services that includes the wider offer (Family Hubs) | 2.I.I | Provide thresholds training to all staff and partners $3 \times$ per week for 12 weeks | HoS FD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | We will see appropriate contacts and referrals into MASH | On track - Threshold training has been taking place through September and October and will continue. Attendance has been good from across the partnership. |  |
|  |  | 2.1 .2 | Review, update and implement the partnership Threshold Document | PSCP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Monitoring conversion rates of contacts to referrals | Complete - The threshold document has been signed off by the Improvement Board in September 2023 and published on the PSCP website, it is being implemented within the training and contact to referral data is monitored closely. |  |
|  |  | 2.1 .3 | Develop refreshed partnership multi-agency Early Help induction / workshops that includes Family Group Conferencing and mediation. | PSCP/HoS TS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Children will receive support earlier reducing the need for statutory support. All staff and partner agencies/ practitioners will understand how to utilise family, friend and community networks as part of their assessment and planning. | On track - In development with partners across Early Help System |  |
|  |  | 2.1 .4 | Deliver regular multi-agency Early Help workshops across the children's workforce | HoS TS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | All agencies will be able to provide appropriate advice, guidance and signposting to children and families so that children and families receive the support they need when they need it. We will see a reduction in inappropriate referrals to the MASH | On track - These have been taking place with good attendance and feedback. Early Help managers have identified partners/resources to deliver newly developed training which will start in November. |  |
|  |  | 2.1. 5 | Allocate School Link Workers to schools and arrange initial meetings to set the baseline for children's attendance and well-being | HoS TS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Baseline will be set to ensure that we can monitor impact of support provided to families to include: Improved Attendance, Mobility, reduced timetables, exclusions and suspensions will be reviewed termly with each school Feedback from children and families and partners will be gathered at 3 and 6 months (March 2024) to evidence they understand where they can access support | On track - In place and initial meetings taken place. All schools will be visited by December with baseline data collected. |  |

2.2 PCC and wider early help 2.2. response is visible and accessible to families and partners
2.2.2 Task Family and Community Solutions with supporting the Early Help HoS TS Advice Line with call back requests to enquiries for Family Group Conferencing and mediation
2.2.3 Send a bi - monthly newsletter to all practitioners across Children's PMFH's Social Care to provide insight into community-based services
2.2.4 Refresh and keep up to date Plymouth Online Directory (POD)
2.2.5 Develop and refresh social media pages (Facebook / Instagram) HoS TS
2.2.6 Review and refresh Family and Community Solutions webpage
2.2.7 Refocus Family and Community Solutions (Family Group Conferencing and mediation services) on Early Help Targeted Response and reunifications for young people on Edge of Care
2.2.8 One central online request for support for parenting programmes to HoS TS go live
2.3 Children receive the right 2.3 support at the right time and staff feel confident to provide interventions that are evidence based and outcomes focused.
2.4 Systems will support effective case management and oversight of practice Train all Edge of Care, Community Based Assessment Team, Early Help and YJS staff to use the Outcome Star
2.3.2
2.4.1 Establish whether Eclipse can meet all of the requirements for early HoS TS help case recording
2.4.2 Scope alternative case management systems that can be used across HoS TS the partnership
2.5.I Work with at least 20 children per quarter to defer them from crime HoS TS and prevent them from entering the criminal justice system.

Families know where they can get advice at a time that suits On track - Helpline is established and feedback is them. This will be evidenced through feedback forums and good (emails). Working Group to be established surveys and an increased use of the helpline. The response by beginning of November 23 to include some will be restorative. service to review content.
Complete - FCS PM now meets weekly with the other PMs from EH to look at supplementing the current work. Data on increased take up to be provided next month.
Frontline practitioners can talk confidently about the availability of community services and support families to access them

Complete - Family Hubs August newsletter
circulated across multi-agency services, October newsletter in production.
We will see an increase in the use of all forums. Parents can On track - A bi - monthly newsletter is sent to all access support using a range of mediums that supports and practitioners across Children's Social Care to encourages them to access help. provide insight into community-based vices advice parents in December and the Web based online directory to be refreshed and kept up to date (POD).
Parents will report they have improved knowledge and access to get support

On track - Facebook page is up and running with 323 followers, our posts reach approx. 473 peopl

On track - Working Group to be established by beginning of November 23 to include some youn people and families who have accessed FCS service to review content.
Consistent focus will be given to what family and community support is identified and can be drawn upo On track - Referral data evidence a $51 \%$ increase in Early Help referrals for FGCs April 23 -Sept 23 to prevent family breakdown at the earliest opportunity We see a reduction of duplication of work across the partnership
We will be able to evidence impact and outcomes On track - Two courses delivered and more distance traveled score cards
We see a clear and consistent response to neglect appropriate referrals are made to provide intervention at EH to statutory level
Children and families have a team around them that provides the right level of support as needed group leading on these developments. Exploring alternative options to eclipse as contingency if there is not the capacity to extend Eclipse to meet the wider requirements as a multi-gency system.

We will see a reduction of hand off's / referrals happening Enquiries include investigating Right for Children that creates delay, instability and anxiety for the family used as Devon Early Help System. This will be addressed as part of planned Eclipse Phase 2 development
The FTE rate remains below pre-Turnaround levels (25.5\% less than 2021-2022)

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 2.9.3 } & \text { Develop the PSCP Case Resolution Protocol to include a 'Rapid HoS FD } \\ \text { Resolution Protocol' for the MASH }\end{array}$ Resolution Protocol' for the MASH
2.9.4 Implement the revised Case Resolution Protocol across the partnership
2.10 Management oversight of 2.10.1 supervision of social workers in the MASH will ensure the right decisions are consistently being made for children. Children will receive a consistent, equitable response to their needs and any risks to them. Children's outcomes will improve as a result of signposting or intervention.
2.1I Children will be protected outside of office hours by a team that is staffed and led by qualified experienced social workers and Children will receive a 12 Domestic and risk analysis, including recognition of the impact of repeated incidents, at the Front Door is robust and effective, including Triage of Domestic Abuse and access to specialist DA practitioner in the MASH.

Operational MASH Group will undertake 20 dip samples per fortnight HoS FD of: Referral to NFA; re-referrals; threshold decisions; consent and repeat contacts and act on findings
2.10.3 Hold Strategic MASH group monthly
2.11.1 Incorporate an OOH manual to be into the MASH manual reflecting HoS FD commonalities with MASH process and outlining the different approaches needed outside usual working hours
2.11.2 Ensure Section 47 Strategy Discussions happen out of hours where there is a need for safety planning and a threshold is met of reasonable cause to suspect significant harm.
2.12.1 Implement Triage of domestic abuse referrals to the MASH and record utilising a standardised domestic abuse tool (DASH) Commission a Domestic Abuse Service co-located in the MASH
CYPF_RSK_5

Supervision frequency monitoring
Complete - A tracker is now in place with regar to rapid resolutions and reported to the Operational and Strategic MASH groups. Complete - The resolution protocol is in presentation slide pack for the Professionals Enquiry Training Workshop and it is being discussed.
Partnership engagement via the MASH Strategic Group is evidenced to be strong and consistent

Complete - The partnership are strong and visible within the board with full participation in the production of the threshold and consent training. This is evidenced through regular attendance at the io leve das pord relationshps and more jored safeguarding and the required improvements

Dip sampling and audit will monitor the consistency and quality of practice

Front Door Improvement Board and Strategic MASH Group will monitor progress against KP's
The Front Door Improvement Board will provide oversight On
of operational multi-agency functioning
HOS will undertake a review of the effectiveness of Front
Door arrangements
Dip sampling will be undertaken in October

Audit and quality assurance will demonstrate high quality purposeful and timely intervention to improve safety and sustain change.
We will have services in place which provide safety when risks are first identified.
ta and quality assurance evidence support timely and

On track - this is planned and findings will be identified and shared next month
Complete - Dip sampling has commenced within the MASH Operational Board with the moderatio and themes overseen within the Strategic Board. A representative from QAS is involved with the focus and learning so that previous concerns in relation to an absence of appropriate focus and drive has been rectified.

Complete - monthly meetings taking place. SLIP attending to review.
On track

On track - this is planned and findings will be identified and shared next month. On track - Procurement activity has begun regarding the commissioned DASV service following Plymouth VAWG commission review and findings in 2021. This will include enhanced colocation and co-working opportunities. This is due to conclude by December 23. Refreshed Domestic Abuse Training and Toolkit has been developed and delivered for Social Workers and Family Support Workers and a training schedule has begun. Feedback re: impact on practice is being collated by Professional Development for reporting to Learning and Impact board

Further support planned during October to ensure progress is maintained and MASH and to review IRT remedial plan.
effective decision making and help for families.

CYPF_RSK_23 2.13 Timely and effective 2.13.1 Work with SLIP Dorset to ensure improvements to processes and HoS FD decision making is sustained leading to the right help being provided when it is needed
decision making in MASH and the front door are sustained















## CHILD PROTECTION PRACTIC

CYPF_RSK_II $\begin{gathered}\text { 3.4 Children at risk of } \\ \text { significant harm are }\end{gathered}$ identified and responded to effectively without delay.
3.5 Thresholds and decisions regarding intervention for children previously subject to child protection plans are appropriate and based on high quality assessments and analysis and SMART plans.
3.6 Team Managers will be restoratively supported to develop their practic so that children benefit from managers leading best practice across their teams.
3.7 Gbod practice and effective managemen oversight mean that proceedings are issued when necessary and without delay
3.8 Children who are subject to PLO pre proceedings will benefi from timely, focused targeted responses to ensure that plans safeguard them and consider their permanent long-term options. Children will not spend prolonged period of times in the preproceedings process and there will be robust responses to their need
3.4. Focused work with Team Managers and CP Chairs to ensure Initial HoS FD/Hos children protection conferences are convened within 15 working days CSW, HoS of the strategy discussion at which it was decided to initiate a Section QAS 47 enquiry
3.5.1 Development work with Independent Chairs to hold pre-conference HOS QAS consultations for social workers and team managers for reflection on level of need and appropriate care planning
3.5.2 Standard set with Independent Chairs to record these conversations HOS OAS on children's case files Managers, Independent Chairs and Service Managers, facilitated by the Academy and HoS, to provide a fortnightly development programme in alignment with our Practice Standards and practice improvement priorities.
37. Embed pre-proceeding and care proceedings data into the dashboard HOS CSW to avoid manual data collections and enable better analysis of outcomes and timescales and to inform practice improvemen
3.7.2 Complete the Essex Pre-proceeding self-evaluation toolkit to inform HoS CSW practice improvement and the LFJB Learning Event in November
3.8.1 Develop clear Terms of Reference for 4 weekly Pre-Proceedings HoS CSW review meetings to set out clear expectations about the purpose and aim of this meeting
Complete 4 weekly reviews of all children in pre-proceedings, to HoS CSW create an action log to review progress, ensure timely support and intervention, ensure Pre-Proceedings letters and minutes of PreProceedings are saved on the child's file and clear actions set in all cases.
3.8.3 Evaluate the impact of the 4 weekly review meetings to ensure the HoS CSW swift initiation of the legal process, for children that need it and which are supported by good assessments and effective managerial oversight and support. children's case records with evidence of the discussion and evidence that legal advice has been sought

Performance data will evidence that timely ICPCs are protecting children from experiencing the adverse impacts of repeated exposure to harm and trauma. Target: $90 \%$

Still maintaining 100\% though Business Support capacity is impacting on the availability of Strateg meeting minutes and workloads in IRT are impacting on the timeliness and availability of reports for ICPCS. New temp arrangement in place for ICPCs to be booked at the end of Strategy meetings when Sect 47 decision made

Performance data will measure decision making relevant to Pre-conference consultations now in place, have child protection planning, this will evidence that only children at significant risk of harm will be made subject to a brought these forward to take place during the CP plan. , were previously held after the sect 47enquiry decision had been made which was confusing the data). $98 \%$ of children subject to ICPC are made the subject of a CPP.
Quality assurance dip sampling of the recorded On track - Consultations recorded in case notes. conversations by service manager will provide evidence of SM QA activity of last 10 consultation case notes the impact of 1 O footprint pricte will be completed by $18 / 10 / 23$ making.

Quality assurance activity will evidence a consistent application of child centred, restorative and outcome focused practice for children

Ont was assessments, 5th Oct session was child summaries, visits and practice standards. Learning from and building on practice strengths: child summary data was $44.9 \%$ now $82.9 \%$, Planned actions agreed to address visit performance (Visit data is: early help $24.1 \%$, CIN $51.9 \%$, CP 73.7\%, CIC 80.5\%)
Dhta will show the rate of progression through PLO and Manual process to record data in place as an the pre-proceedings process is commensurate with the 16 - interim arrangement. This will be addressed as part week maximum timescale of planned Eclipse Phase 2 development
mproved quality and performance
On track - Planning in place with Legal and CAFCASS supported by Court Progression Officer. On track for end of November ahead of away day 12/12/23
Quality and timeliness of the Pre-proceedings

Data will show an increased proportion of children stepped Complete - In place tracked by Court Progression down successfully to CP reflecting effective pre-proceedings Officer, also extended to children open to IRT and intervention. CDT

Evidence from data and quality assurance of the swit
On track
initiation of the legal process, for children that need it and
which are supported by good assessments and effective managerial oversight and support.
All letters placed on children's records ( $100 \%$ ). Measured Expectations have been set with all Teams at the through dip sample Family Proceedings Board. Completion being assured through four weekly tracking meeting Dip sampling of letters before action to be completed next month
3.8.5 Family Proceedings Board chaired by HOS CSW to meet every 6 into the LFFB
3.8.6 Establish Unborn Baby Panel with Adopt South West in attendance, HoS CSW to ensure children's assessments, intervention and plan is progressing to ensure early permanence for children
3.9 Management oversight of social work will ensure Children will benefit from a timely and relationship based service from social workers that ensures the right help at the right time. Effective case management will support practitioners to achieve manageable workloads and consistently good practice.
$\square$
mplement Performance Boards in arch service area to ensure Tean ALL HIS and Service Managers use performance management information weekly and supervision to understand and take action to manage the complexities of individual social workers workloads.
9.3 Implement Practice Standards to ensure timely allocation of work which includes effective management direction for practitioners
3.10 Atsessments which are timely, comprehensive, holistic and analytical w lead to well-timed appropriate support and 3.10.2 The revised training offer on assessments will be delivered to all SW HoS QAS intervention for children and families
3.10.1 The Social Work Academy will produce a revised training offer on the HOS QAS quality of assessments, which includes the 'safe uncertainty' model. quality of assessments, which includes the 'safe uncertainty' model. This will be finalised at the Leaders for Excellence session on 21/09

| Teams |
| :--- |

3.10.3 Undertake quality assurance activity to evaluate whether assessment HoS FD, HoS practice is now 'good'. Take actions on findings as needed
3.II Chronologies to be used 3.1I.I The SW Academy will review its chronology training in line with the HoS QAS as an analytic tool withi Eclipse chronology tool
assessments to enable
patterns and capacity to 3.11.2 Refreshed chronology training will be completed by every SW team HoS QAS considered
3.1I.3 Practice Standards will include that all assessments are updated SD CYPFS whenever significant events occur in a child's life

12 Children's plans that are 3.12 .1 The Leaders for Excellence programme will provide targeted
Practice Standards set out the requirement that management oversight is recorded on each child's file at prescribed intervals, or more frequently when necessary,

The Leaders for Excellence programme will provide targeted
development for managers and Independent Chairs on SMART plans for children

HoS


Areduction in the numbers of under ones coming into care and pre-proceedings

On track - ToR dratted for sign off on $16 / 10$ with all Board members and first Panel taking place where all 54 UBBs will be reviewed. Review mechanism will be agreed depending on complexity.
Management 'grip' demonstrates understanding of the The Practice Standards and Supervision policy set child's needs and how the plan will meet them. Eৃcords will out the expectations for frequency of children's show effective case management direction, actions required supervision. These have been reissued to staff. to safeguard and support children and timescales TARGET: 75\% evidences chidren record good management oversight some teams are experiencing high workloads and manager absence. Plans are in place to see further improvements by the end of October to mitigate the risks.

Social Workers will report that workloads are mostly manageable and managers understand and respond to the These are in place weekly and TMs/SMs are using pressures. data to performance manage progress in the
on the seven obssessions. Progress seen in on the seven obsessions. Progress seen in
completion of case summaries from $45 \%$ April 23 to $83 \%$ current.
Quality assurance and audit activity will demonstrate quality On track - Commitment given by managers at of assessments and plans. Allocation data will show timeliness

Eerformance data and monthly quality of practice grade audits will show whether assessments are achieved according to the child's needs, wishes and feelings and timescales

Leaders for Excellence to cascade Practice Standards into service and team meetings this month.
Complete - Revised training offer completed and shared at the 21 st Sept Leaders for Excellence session

On track - The revised assessment training offer now part of the programme offer, ASYEs, new international SWs and new recruits are being prioritised for this
On track - planned

Children's lived experience will be clear which will inform On track - the team is working with Eclipse to assessment and enable the 1 ouscon the development of purposefu understand edipe tool so understand the eclipse tool so that this can be outcome focused plans that ensure that children experience incorporated into the training sustained positive change

On track
Quality assurance would evidence improved quality of single assessments across CSW/Edge of care and permanency service, which will better inform planning for children.
The quality of plans will be evident via monthly audits and improvement actions will ensure that best practice is achieved for children

Complete - This has been included in the Practice Standards which have been relaunched to managers and cascaded to teams. Impact to be evaluated in planned QA
On track - This is booked for the 19th Oct, Karen Blake is leading the session with Academy support
comprehensive and
SMART.

Child in Need and Core group meetings include relevant professionals and are purposeful in ensuring that plans are implemented and effective. Children will recognise that they and their family are able to contribute to and influence plans.
bett protected from the cumulative harm and acute risks of neglect
because Social work practice will be wellinformed and confident to ensure identification to ensure identification and effective responses from the harm of child sexual abuse, from being identified through to long term recovery work.
3.12.2 Relaunch and fully implement the Child In Need Framework across all HOS CSW Children's Social Work Teams
3.13.1 Produce a Neglect Strategy agreed by the PSCP Board

PSCP
13.2 Deliver training on the use of the Graded Care Profile across the HoS QAS Partnership to embed the neglect tool
3.14.1 PSCP task and finish group to develop and implement a strategy and PSCB HoS FD training to improve the city-wide response to children at risk of child sexual abuse, from being identified through to long term recovery work.

Children will have regular multi- agency reviews and we will On track - CIN Tracker meetings are already in see a reduction in the length of time children are open to the service

Audits and managers' quality assurance activity will demonstrate high quality, purposeful and timely intervention to improve safety and sustain change where neglect is a primary concern.

Multi Agency Audits will evidence use of the tool and improved planning and outcomes where neglect is a concern
Quality assurance evidence that child victims of sexual abuse will be offered a full range of support both in the short term and in the longer term.
place four weekly to ensure timely progress of CIN Plans. SM Lead identified and framework is being updated for final sign off at SMT on 6/L $1 / 2023$ ahead of implementation.

 support them to be safe and develop the skills and confidence they need to become independent and successful adults,
















 have about their histories and experiences. Adoption is considered carefully and promptly for all children when needed.





THE RIGHT HOME FOR EVERY CHILD AND YOUNG PERSON

CYPF_RSK_15
4.1 Every effort will be made to support children to continue living with their families wherever possible.
CYPF_RSK_9
4.2 Where children and young people cannot safely live with their birth parents, every effort will be made to support children to continue living within their wider family or community. people who need to be in our care, will live in the right home with a family or carers who can meet their needs. Family based care that is in or close to Plymouth will be the first Plymouth will be the first young people.
will
4.1. Complete a 6 month review of impact of Children's Resource Panel SD CYPFS on decisions to initiate care proceedings or bring children into care and on unplanned entrants into care
4.2.1 Fostering Team to deliver refresher training on Connected Carers HoS Perm and Viability Assessments to all CSW and Permanence Team present to DMT to all Teams. To include; I) That all unplanned admissions to care to be agreed only by the Service Director and presented at the next Children's Resource Panel; 2) All new requests for residential placements to CRP, 3) All change of placement with an increased people identified for step down/step forward from residential care.
4.3.2 Implement tracker of children and young people identified for step SD CYPFS down/step forward from residential to ensure children's step down plans progress to agreed timescales and financial impact is monitored.

CYPF RSK
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4.3.3 Work with providers to finalise opening of 2 block contract HoS Perm provisions and identify the children and young people who need these homes (Falcon Lodge (Keys) and Merrivale (Pivotal/Parallele)).

CYPF_RSK_16
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4.3.4 Revise Sufficiency Strategy based upon a detailed analysis of need of all HoS Perm children likely to be in the looked after system and emerging trends of demand and present to DMT
4.3.5 Update and implement a comprehensive fostering recruitment and HoS Perm retention strategy

Review will analyse the plans brought to panel against
decisions made and outcomes achieved. Data and analysis
to inform learning and development of practitioners.

Children's Resource Panel will oversee and track decisions On track - training is taking place Team meetings. to take children into care or initiate legal proceedings, ensuring family are considered first. Analysis of data for children in care with connected persons. Dip sample of Viability Assessments considered to be negative

Analysis of the data for those children and young people living in Reg 24 arrangements and exiting to SGO or Dip sampling will be planned by SM/HoS this quarter to evaluate impact, including negative viabilities.

Behind schedule - due to SM sickness. However, remaining as Connected Carers. Analysis has returned to work and it is agreed that a exiting long term care to SGO with former foster parents cosed or connected persons. Longitudinal study of outcomes for children/young people subject to SGO, including re-entry to care numbers due to SGO breakdown

6 m review of Children's Resource Panel placement sufficiency data, including numbers in residential MTFP tracker. Data used to monitor stability of placements Number of unplanned moves will reduce. Use of unregistered arrangements will reduce.
 the target dates

Complete - Tracker has been established and populated with information about specific children with agreed step down plans. Progress is tracked at CRP each week.
Feedback from young people and Housing performance data Complete - Falcon Lodge ( 2 bed) was inspected by Ofsted on $11 / 10 / 23$ and we are hopeful this will enable them to open by end October once inspection outcome is confirmed. One young person is identified to move in once registration is confirmed.. Merrivale opened in Sept and 7 young people have moved in ( $3 \times \mathrm{U} \mid 8$ and $4 \times 18+$ ). Two more young people have plans for phased admissions.
Data used to monitor stability of placements. Number of unplanned moves will reduce. Use of unregistered arrangements will reduce.

Increase in \% of children placed with foster carers close to Plymouth

Complete - Newly focused Children's Resource Panel ensures Service Director has line of sight and oversight of care entrants, that legal processes are initiated when threshold is met and oversight of high cost placements
4.4 Children and young people in care will enjo permanence in stable, happy homes where they are securely matched an will aspire and achieve
4.5 飞bung people seeking asylum will be placed in a suitable home and their needs met within the 5 day transfer requirement. Young people seeking asylum will be well supported to settle in Plymouth and secure their legal status.
4.6 We will always review whether children and young people still need to be in our care and prioritise reunification to parents or wider family where this is possible

CYPF_RSK_9
4.4.1 Team Managers will review all cared for children who have been in HoS Perm their foster homes for over six months with a permanence plan of long term fostering to ensure that the Matching process is progressed or a decision to search for a long term placement made if matching is not appropriate.
4.4.2 Team Managers will review the recorded permanence plan for all HoS Perm cared for children and young people to ensure that the permanence planning process is followed and the correct permanence plan recorded on Eclipse.

Recruit Agency Social Worker with UASC experience to establish processes within the permanence service for direct allocation of young people seeking asylum directly from MASH
4.5.2 Amend Transfer Process to direct allocation of young people seeking HoS Perm asylum directly from MASH
4.5.3 Launch the UASC Participation animation across all services and with HoS Perm wider partners
4.6.1 Team Managers and Service Managers to review all children and HoS Perm young people placed with parents to determine if they can be discharged from care.
4.6.2 Team Managers (social work and fostering teams) to review all children and young people placed with Connected Carers to determine if they could now progress to SGO with appropriate support.
4.3.6 Develop a proposal, to be presented to DMT, for the in House Fostering Team to pilot a step-forward model (such as resilience fostering) for children stepping down from residential placements.


4.6.3 In supervision for each cared for child and young person Team Managers will consider whether reunification to a parent or family member has been considered and what support would be necessary to progress this.
4.6.4 HoS to confirm to each IRO that at each mid-point review and statutory CiC review IROs will consider the potential reunification, discharge from care and progression to SGO for every child.

On track - We have trialled approaches of enhanced support to our foster carers which has successfully enabled three children to step down from residential care. The learning is being developed into a proposal for an agreed approach as part of our fostering sufficiency plans This will come to SMT in November.
Children's cases will be tracked to ensure plans for children On track - All relevant children are currently being are correctly recorded and progressed reviewed to This will be completed this month and data to evidence progress will be provided next month On track - SMs and TMs reviewed all relevan children during Sept and actions identified are being progressed with oversight by TMs
IROs will monitor and report through mid-point and statutory reviews
Young people will have fewer changes of social worker. Data for timeframe from NTS referral to placement.

Young people will have fewer changes of social worker. Data for timeframe from NTS referral to placement. Straff will have a greater understanding of the lived experiences and need of young people seeking asylum Eedback from young people
Children will not be subject to PWP for over 6 months without a plan to discharge from care or be placed in a more suitable arrangement.

IROs will monitor and report through mid-point and statutory reviews Eeedback from children, young people and carers

Children will not be in care where they can safely live with family under SGO.IROs will monitor and report through mid-point and statutory reviews
Eedback from children, young people and carers

Permanence plans for cared for children and young people will be reviewed and adapt to changing needs and circumstances. IROs will monitor and report through midpoint and statutory reviews. Feedback from children, young people and carers
Dip sample of mid point reviews and CIC notes to evidence Expectation has been set. Impact to be established progress with this action by OA of last 10 mid point review and CIC notes by 27 th Oct.


Exclusions will reduce
Audit and QA will indicate improved understanding and outcomes for education
Children/ young people's feedback

Improved educational progress and attainment of cared for This is included in the planned SLIP work in children. Improved attendance and reduced exclusions of October
cared for children A plan will be presented to the Board
Monitoring of educational achievement of children in care at On track - To agree approach at SMT during each key stage. Education attendance figures will increase October
Exclusions will reduce

## Health KPIs

See separate report to Board
Aldit and QA will indicate improved understanding and outcomes for health
Children/ young people will report improvements in their health and wellbeing through reviews, audits and annual surveys.

Chert to Board
See separate report to Board

## SDQ KPIs

Eededack from young people and carers CAMHS data
Mind of My Own usage data
Eeedback from children and young people

Young people will have a clear action plan to remain engaged in EET post 16

The number of care leavers Not in Education, Training or Employment will reduce

The number of care leavers Not in Education, Training or Employment will reduce

The number of care leavers Not in Education, Training or Employment will reduce

Ontrack - Your Future" program has been launched as designed with young people and 4 young people have attended
Schools' training programme in place. Training to carers started September 2023, work in place to ensure good engagement. Meeting held between VSHT and Carer Advocate Group in Oct 23 to outline needs.
All PEPs from Y10 onwards have planning for career development in a separate section called 'Post 16 EET Support and Achievement Plan'. QA planned.
On track - Now planned for November to link with Care Leavers Week focusing on care leavers protected status and developing EET training opportunities.
In planning stage, conversations taking place with individual business, e.g. Balfour Beaty.

Feedback from children and young people will evidence confidence in the adulss to advocate for them and meet their educational needs

Education attendance and attainment figures will increase

On track - work has started with CAMHS and a clear workflow for the completion of SDOs agreed. This will be shared with teams. On track - Pioneers have been trained and are using Mind of My Own. Train the Trainer training is complete -4 trainers available ". 27 th No Practice week will run a MoMo competition to increase awareness and registrations


PRIORITY 5 - QUALITY ASSURANCE AND AUDIT; Ensure a robust Quality Assurance Framework is in place that provides a clear picture of performance and leads to strong grip, practice improvement and embedded learning

5. 1. 4 Complete 12 audits of children open to CYPFS who are electively home educated to identify and act on practice strengths and areas for development
5.1.5 Achieve $100 \%$ of audits allocated to managers across the service. HoS QAS
1.6 Recruit a Quality Assurance Lead to co-ordinate the annual audit programme, provide support to auditors and audit moderators, rovide guidance and leadership of QA activity across the service and PSCP and to track action in response to findings
5.1.7 Recruit 2 interim practice learning reviewers to evidence our practice HoS QAS and support with practice development
5.1.8 Implement an audit action tracker that is reviewed by Service HoS QAS Managers to ensure that every audit action is achieved

52 Identified learning from Quality Assurance activity will be evaluated and disseminated to all staff Children will benefit from 5.2.2 Share learning from quality assurance activity interactively at service HoS QAS the knowledge that quality assurance activity recommendations will be followed up to ensure
5.3 Independent Child Protection Chairs will Protection Chairs will have effective oversight of multi-agency CP practice 5.3 to ensure the CP conferencing process is used proportionately and is effective in protecting and safeguarding child via SMART plans, and ensure fuli consideration is given to children with EHCPs and SEN(D).
5.3.3

Implement expectation that Independent CP Chairs undertake restorative 'mid-point' and needs-led reviews of all children who are subject to CP plans and ensure that the plan is effective and relevant to the circumstances of the child
5.3.4 Set expectation that Independent Chairs use the 'Resolution and Escalation Process' whenever they find poor practice
5.3.5 Weekly review of performance data and monthly dip sampling of ICPC and CP Review notes and plans by the QA\&S Service Manage and actions taken in response to findings

Audit and dip sampling will show how practice is supporting On track - These audits were allocated fo children who are home educated
completion during Sept. Findings are currently being analysed.
$100 \%$ of audits are completed every month which inform 15/22 Sept audits achieved so far, more expected continuously improving practice
to be submitted. Raising in SMT on $16 / 10$ for assurance that October's will be achieved

The increase in capacity in the QA team will enable a significant increase in QA activity to inform the ongoing review of practice improvement

Every child whose case file is audited will experience "good" practice

Complete - Recruited in house to a secondment to start 30th Oct

On track - Shortisting week of 9/10 interviews week of $16 / 10$
On track - Audit action tracker is in place but it is not yet being reviewed consistently to ensure all actions are complete -this has been escalated to Heads of Service who are overseeing their Service Managers achieving the action
The regular dissemination of learning with enable the whole On track - Monthly audit learning report completed and shared with SMT and the Academy completed and shared with SMT and the Acade to inform policy and practice developmen

Behind schedule; this is not being fully achieved as the QA Lead role is not yet in post, when they start in Nov they will attend the service meetings to share the learning. Revised deadline of November proposed.

| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Weekly } \\ \text { of CP ce of performance data and monthly dip sampling } \\ \text { of }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Complete - Pre Conference consultations are in } \\ \text { place and have improved the proportion of }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- | experience good practice in CP meetings

Audit and Dip sampling children given CP plans
On track - Independent chairs are attending the 19th Oct Leaders for Excellence session which is focused on SMART plans, Service Manager is also finalising a training offer from the Academy targeted at the specific development needs of CP Chairs
Children will experience better quality services as a result of $\mathbb{R} O$ s ensuring good practice and outcomes

Audit and Dip sampling

## Audit and Dip sampling

[ADO performance will be measured by a score card

Designated Officer
and quarterly dip sampling, this information will be
On track - LADO and Service Manager are ompared with on behalf of children reviewing the current policy and procedure to identify what's working well and the improvemen
actions needed, Improvement plan will be sent to Dorset for their feedback
On track - Roles have been uploaded for grading On track

## On track

On track
This work has been agreed with Dorset and included in the SLIP forward plan.
 workforce which provides high quality, effective support and interventions that meet their needs and aspirations.


 relationships with children, families and care leavers. The impact of any systems change is well managed, with a sustained focus on the experience of children and families.

centre of learning and development for practitioners so that children experience range of skills and techniques.
6.3 .5
6.3.2 Develop and implement a revised and refreshed learning and development programme for the Academy that is aligned to improvement priorities and recruitment and retention goals that includes bite size training, lunch and learn sessions, e-learning, blogs to wrap around the availability of front line practitioners
6.3.3 Refresh induction arrangements for new starters following a review HoS QAS of the current induction offer, staff survey to support this (to include in-house career progression inductions)
6.3.4 Deliver weekly Eclipse refresher training slots for practitioners and HoS QAS Eclipse champion floor walkers Progress the Early Career Framework (ECF) to support ASYEs in year $2 \& 3$
workforce retention and progression. Quality assurance and audit activity will demonstrate the improved use of knowledge, research, evidence and skills in practice.

On track - Support in place from HROD to revise the workforce plan that supports the recruitment and retention strategy. We are completing a self assessment of the Academy by the 31 st Oct to inform the future workforce plan, this will include the L\&D needs of EPS.
Not yet progressed due to capacity, staff survey taking place during November which will inform work
On track - Capacity has been identified and Service Managers have been asked to submit their priority Eclipse learning needs so that the training sessions can be planned to focus on areas that meet the priority learning needs of frontline staff

On track - Dave Neale is attending all ECF DfE meetings and we are achieving all requirements
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## Purpose of Report

The Child Exploitation Update report provides an overview and update on the Adolescent Safety Framework (ASF) that was created as Plymouth's approach to supporting older children and young people experiencing harm from outside the home. The ASF is a way of responding to and supporting older children and young people aged II - I8 (aged 25 for care leavers). It aims to understand the different needs of this age group and is designed to respond to harm experienced outside the family home. These contextual risks and threats to young people's safety can include, child sexual exploitation, peer exploitation, child criminal exploitation, gang activity (county lines) and online exploitation.

The report from the Independent Scrutineer of Plymouth Safeguarding Children Partnership was presented to the Partnership Improvement Board in September and has informed the development of the improvement priorities and plan.

## Recommendations and Reasons

Scrutiny is asked to note the reports and recommendations

## Alternative options considered and rejected

The report sets out the plans to further develop the framework in Plymouth

## Relevance to the Corporate Plan and/or the Plymouth Plan

Focus on prevention, early intervention and keeping children, young people and adults protected.

## Implications for the Medium Term Financial Plan and Resource Implications:

No implications at this stage

## Financial Risks

This plan for improvement is mitigated against longer term risks

## Carbon Footprint (Environmental) Implications:

No known implications

## Other Implications: e.g. Health and Safety, Risk Management, Child Poverty:

* When considering these proposals members have a responsibility to ensure they give due regard to the Council's duty to promote equality of opportunity, eliminate unlawful discrimination and promote good relations between people who share protected characteristics under the Equalities Act and those who do not.
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# Education and Children's Social Care Overview and Scrutiny Committee 

## CHILD EXPLOITATION UPDATE

## Adolescent Safety Framework:

The Adolescent Safety Framework (ASF) was created as Plymouth's approach to supporting older children and young people experiencing harm from outside the home. The ASF is a way of responding to and supporting older children and young people aged II - 18 (aged 25 for care leavers). It aims to understand the different needs of this age group and is designed to respond to harm experienced outside the family home. These contextual risks and threats to young people's safety can include, child sexual exploitation, peer exploitation, child criminal exploitation, gang activity (county lines) and online exploitation.
This model of working at the individual level is through 'Safer Me' at an early help and child in need level and through 'Safer Me Plus' at a child protection level. It is designed to give a focus around the risks outside of the child's home. This is achieved through the Peer Group, Neighbourhood (Location) and School Context Conferences, which are facilitated by independent Safeguarding Chairs, Safer Plymouth Chairs, and Headteachers or Designated Safeguarding Leads respectively.

## Current Situation:

The Daily Intelligence Briefing (DIB) is a multi-agency meeting that takes place daily to discuss every child deemed to be at risk of exploitation who has been reported missing, remains missing, or any child arrested in the previous 24 hours. The aim of the meeting is to share information relating to the child to agree immediate actions required and put in place a multi-agency plan. The meeting also discusses adults of concern, emerging themes and locations and this information is shared with the chair of the Operational Mace in order to discuss disruption plans. Examples of recent disruption activity include:
I. Citywide action on children using THC in vapes and distributing this. This involved work with the police and schools to educate school leaders on risk and identification and police disruption activity. This includes linking with public health and the hospital.
2. A number of children located in a house of multiple occupation in Plymouth. Children were reporting use of drugs, sexual abuse and unknown adult males attending the address. The police attended and made arrests. The children are working with REACH to support understanding and risk management.
Attendance at the DIB is good with The Edge of Care Team, Youth Justice Service, REACH, Police, MASH, Education, Health, SHARP (Young People's Drug and Alcohol Service) and ACE schools represented. This enables us to have a co-ordinated response in place to prevent a disjointed approach or an issue where everyone thinks someone else is responding. The DIB is currently chaired by the Edge of Care Manager and covered by REACH or Youth Justice in her absence and feeds directly to the Youth Justice Service manager and Chair of Operational MACE.

Where there are safeguarding concerns for a child who is not open to Children, Young people and Families Service, a referral will be accepted by the MASH who are represented daily. There may be some work to understand the risk undertaken by the REACH staff in the first instance.

The DIB has taken on much of the work previously managed in the MACE and offers us a more immediate response to exploitation. A strong element of the ASF is the mapping of children to identify and devise proportionate responses to groups at risk of exploitation. The quality of information provided by the police in this mapping exercise is excellent however there is a resource issue that causes delay in the production of this of up to 2 weeks, but we know that two weeks in a child's life is a long time and this has been raised in the recent strategic MACE to identify resource to address the time delay.
Operational MACE sits monthly and will discuss those children at the highest risk to ensure that plans are robust and reviewed. Themes, location and adults of concern are discussed at this meeting and multi-agency decisions made on disruption activity.
Strategic MACE sits quarterly and reports to the PCSB. Strategic MACE review data alongside themes and risks to ensure that as a city we are reacting appropriately to concerns.

## Reducing Exploitation and Absence from Care and Home (REACH)

The REACH team is responsible for undertaking Return Home Interviews for all children who are reported missing. The team receive police notifications daily and will make contact with the child within 24 hours to offer an RHI within 72 hours. This is voluntary and the workers will need to draw on their skills to build quick relationships to encourage take up. During this assessment the worker will assess for any exploitation concerns.

| Date | Missing <br> Children | Missing <br> Episodes | Children <br> in Care - <br> Children | CIC <br> Episodes | RHI offered <br> to take <br> place within <br> 72 hours | RHI <br> completed <br> inside 72 <br> Hours | Exploitation <br> CE and CSE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| April 23 | 33 | 69 | 14 | 40 | $93.9 \%$ | $68.3 \%$ | 12 |
| May 23 | 59 | 90 | 23 | 40 | $96.6 \%$ | $69.3 \%$ | 21 |
| June 23 | 61 | 94 | 23 | 39 | $98.4 \%$ | $71.4 \%$ | 27 |
| July 23 | 49 | 70 | 17 | 28 | $98 \%$ | $61.2 \%$ | 20 |
| Aug 23 | 44 | 78 | 23 | 47 | $100 \%$ | $56.7 \%$ | 19 |
| Sep 23 | 66 | 119 | 23 | 54 | $95.8 \%$ | $60.5 \%$ | 24 |

We have recently started to monitor those children who have their RHI outside of the 72 hours and the reasons for this. In September 20 RHIs were completed outside of 72 hrs . Of these, 12 were requested by parents and 4 by children to be arranged as such. Three were delayed to outside of the timeframe because the child was in hospital, and one was due to multiple missing incidents being covered in the latest RHI.
Patterns and themes are identified through the RHI's. Most recent themes relate to children being found with street homeless who drink. When this occurs, the police are informed immediately and will disrupt.
Air B\&B's remains an issue with parents / adults booking an Air B\&B for children to have a party. The police have made attempts to address this directly with Air B\&B however it is not a UK based company, and this has proven a challenge and therefore a program of education is being developed to include possible press release.

Car parks in the city remain a place for children to come together - when this is identified police will disrupt however we know this just moves children to the next space.

THC in vapes remains a concern and we continue to provide education.

Over the last 3 months we have worked with 90 children who have identified being at risk of criminal and or sexual exploitation ( 37 sexual exploitation and 54 criminal exploitation) all of which have been offered interventions from the REACH workers.

## Safer Me Assessments

The Safer Me assessment supports decision making on the type of risk, level of harm and how to seek additional support, either through the Individual or Context pathways. The Safer Me and SaferMe+ approach is used alongside statutory safeguarding processes to ensure extra-familial contexts are being considered within and alongside traditional child protection processes. 44 Safer me assessment have been completed since April 2023 and there are 54 children with an open safer me compared to 4I in April 2023.

## County Lines

Currently, we are mapping and monitoring 4 groups in the city involving 40 children and 10 adults linked to county lines. The Alpha and Bravo groups activities have now been disrupted involving Police operations, these children are still monitored weekly to ensure they are safe. The Charlie and Delta groups are still active. 4 adults are currently in custody, either on remand or recalled following activities to disrupt these groups. The group maps are reviewed weekly and individual children discussed daily at the DIB if risk remains. Any children requiring a NRM is then discussed with the MACE chair and referrals made by the allocated SW.

## Learning to date and next steps:

The Adolescent Safety Framework was introduced to Plymouth after it was launched in Devon. Recent conversations with Devon have highlighted similar concerns raised in Plymouth and we will take this learning and make the decision to streamline our approach to ensure all partner agencies feel able to be on board with safeguarding our children against contextual risks in the city.
As we develop our practice and understanding of exploitation across the city, we are seeing an uplift in concerns raised for children which follow national trends. There are examples of very good practice and multi-agency working when there is a need to respond to crisis situations however there is a gap in working in a more co-ordinated way to prevent concerns escalating.

Exploitation is a difficult risk to manage and relies heavily on relationship-based work to build trust. We need to support our workers across the city but mostly within social care to feel confident and safe to identify risk and intervene.

The launch of the ASF took place January 202 I with the training delivered widely across the partnership but highlighted the need to clarify our approach with professionals.

- A task and finish group are now in place to amend the Adolescent Safety Framework following feedback from partner agencies and experience of other local authorities also using the framework and embed this across the partnership.

Partners report that the framework needs to be clearer with stronger co-ordination and a more focused assessment, coupled with professionals feeling that they need more support and development to manage the risk more confidently and this would lead to ineffective planning.

- The task and finish group will develop a simple screening tool for all professionals for exploitation and provide advice and guidance on how to use it alongside the Building Support thresholds,
- Maintain the current model of the DIB that includes a co-ordinator role that ensures we can collect data and monitor all exploitation across the city.
- Develop a training package for all professionals to ensure we can identify risk and be better equipped to respond.

The Safer Me assessment needs to be used more consistently and needs to be more focused.

- The task and finish group will develop a simple assessment framework and guidance that will compliment Early Help assessments.

The Task and Finish group are meeting on the $10^{\text {th }}$ November 2023 to agree membership and plan the following work.

| Action | By who | By when |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| The ASF is reviewed and refined to simplify the process | HoS TS and YJS | Dec 2023 |
| A screening tool is developed for all professionals to use <br> to identify exploitation (with guidance.) | HoS TS and YJS | Dec 2023 |
| The Assessment Tool is reviewed and refined to ensure <br> it is focused. | HoS TS and YJS | Jan 2024 |
| Develop workshops / training sessions to increase <br> knowledge and confidence of exploitation and how to <br> respond across the partnership | HoS TS and YJS | Jan 2024 |
| Produce an Exploitation Strategy | HoS TS and <br> Partners | Jan 2024 |

Name: Martine Aquilina
Position: Head of Service
Date: 03.10.23
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## Plymouth City Council Children's Service Improvement Board

## Plymouth Safeguarding Children Partnership - Child Exploitation update

## Introduction

1. This report is submitted to provide the members and attendees of the Education and Children's Social Care Overview and Scrutiny Committee with an overview of the local partnership response to child exploitation across Plymouth.
2. Child exploitation has emerged as a significant threat to our children, nationally, regionally, and locally. It affects children from all backgrounds and can have devastating effects on the lives of children and their families.
3. It is a particularly difficult safeguarding issue to respond to. Children often do not realise they are being exploited, have strong personal relationships with those exploiting them, many have vulnerabilities arising from complex issues earlier in their lives, and many are mistrustful of the staff trying to help and support them.

## Summary

4. The response in Plymouth, as in many other areas, has developed at pace over recent years. There is a truly multi-agency response overseen by the Plymouth Safeguarding Children Partnership (PSCP). The response is supported by senior managers from the Devon and Cornwall Police, Plymouth City Council's Children, Young People and Families (CYPFS) and Education Participation and Skills services (EPS), NHS Devon Integrated Care Board, University Hospitals Plymouth NHS Trust, Livewell Southwest, Barnardos, NSPCC and the local education community.
5. The level of awareness overall, across the partnership, is adequate. Staff from key organisations understand what child exploitation is and can outline its different forms, i.e., sexual, criminal, radicalisation, modern slavery.
6. Staff from a range of different organisations identify the key signs and indicators and flag their concerns. This is encouraging and demonstrates a commitment across the partnership.
7. However, it is felt the number of children being identified does not reflect the true prevalence of child exploitation in Plymouth. More work is required to develop practitioner awareness into a greater and wider understanding. It is hoped this understanding will lead to signs and indicators being recognised more frequently, children being assessed accurately, their needs and risks identified, and appropriate courses of action determined.
8. The support provided to children who are assessed as being at higher levels of risk is assessed as good and effective. These children are supported by professionals who have appropriate levels of skill, experience, and time. The support provided to children with lower levels of risk, or for whom there is limited information, is variable. The universal workforce capability and capacity is inconsistent. Further work is required to improve understanding, confidence, and skill levels.
9. There are a range of meetings in Plymouth that support the overall response. At the operational level the higher-level risks and threats are managed well. Strategically, more work is required to improve how the PSCP drives improvements forward, in a timely way. There are promising signs
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with plans to conduct a system assessment, review the local adolescent safety framework and improve data collection. These need to be achieved without any delay.
10. In conclusion, there is still much to do but the level of knowledge and expertise available within Plymouth should enable the improvements to be made. The gaps, and the means to close them are understood. Partnership leaders need to commit resources to ensure the plans are followed through as soon as possible.

## Awareness of child exploitation

11. PCSP and its partner organisations have provided learning over recent years to ensure practitioners are aware of and understand child exploitation. This has been supported by national publications, including 'Keeping Children Safer in Education', the national statutory guidance for safeguarding in education settings.
12. Child exploitation features in all induction training and in many refresher inputs. Learning inputs are regularly updated as knowledge about child exploitation grows.
13. The level of knowledge, as a whole, is assessed as adequate, although it has been suggested that further learning would be useful to improve understanding and enable staff, including those in schools to be more confident. It is hoped this will enable certain staff to manage more cases at lower levels of risk.

## The response to children who go missing

14. All incidents of children being reported as missing to the police, are notified to CYPFS' Reducing Exploitation and Absence from Care and Home (REACH) team. This team is the local authority's specialist team in this area of child safeguarding and examines each notification it receives.
15. All children reported as missing to the police are monitored by police managers. Risks are continually monitored, and all cases are reviewed at local daily police tasking processes where risks are assessed, and where necessary, action decided upon. There is evidence of appropriate and effective action being taken through these processes.
16. Efforts are made for family support workers from the REACH team to speak with every child who is reported as missing, to conduct Return Home Interviews (RHIs). There are good rates of engagement and the information gathered is used to identify risks in relation to children, individuals who pose a threat of harm to them and locations of concern. This information is fed into local risk management systems (outlined later in this report).

## Referral and allocation of child exploitation cases

17. The pathway used by practitioners to access support has changed recently. All referrals are submitted to the local Multi-Agency Safeguarding Hub (MASH). The MASH ensures referrals are allocated to the relevant staff dealing with this subject. Work is being undertaken to ensure referrals are managed in a timely way by staff who have a good understanding of child exploitation and the support options available. It is intended that advice and support will be available to professionals dealing with cases, when they have queries. The partnership will check on these arrangements post-implementation to assess how they are working.
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18. The options following a referral being received are:
a) The referral can be allocated for a social care assessment within the CYPFS Initial Response Team
b) The referral can be allocated for 'Peer Group' conference when there are concerns about a group of individuals, a location or a person posing a threat. The conference will be coordinated by CYPFS' REACH team.
c) The referral can be allocated, often back to the referrer, to be managed in a school or community context conference
19. There are issues with Option C, currently, as it is felt there are limitations with the support provided in the school or community context. The limitations relate to staff either not having the level of knowledge, confidence, and experience to conduct the work, or not having enough time. There are plans to use staff from the REACH team to support school and community staff in raising knowledge, confidence, and skill levels to be able to help children more effectively.
20. Once children are managed under Options 1 and 2 , its is felt that the response is good. Staff, particularly in the REACH team, have good levels of knowledge, have more time to be able to engage and work with children, have a good understanding of the techniques that work well with children affected by exploitation and access other resources that help child victims.

## Adolescent Safety Framework

21. The Adolescent Safety Framework (ASF) is a multi-agency framework designed to identify and coordinate a response to contextual safeguarding risks faced by adolescents. It was introduced into Plymouth in early 2021.
22. The ASF is used effectively by CYPFS staff but is not consistently understood well or used by staff in other organisations, particularly schools.
23. The key document within the ASF is the Safer Me assessment tool. It is a comprehensive and thorough tool, but many staff have found it time-consuming and laborious to complete. A limited number of Safer Me assessments have been completed by staff outside of CYPFS.
24. The Strategic MACE has established a task and finish group to determine what is needed for the whole partnership to use the ASF more effectively and the group is about to start its work in earnest. Part of that work will be to explore if a simpler, easier to use assessment tool can be introduced. Another key part will be to identify what learning is required to fully engage and inform all the relevant staff across the partnership.
25. Another option is for the partnership to identify and implement an alternative framework.

## Key Meetings/Forums

26. The local groups responsible for coordinating the response to child exploitation are:
> Daily Intelligence Briefing
Each weekday a virtual multi-agency meeting is held in Plymouth. It involves staff from the MASH, the Youth Justice Service, health, police, CYPFS and members of the local alternative
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education provider, ACE Academy. It is well attended and well regarded by those who participate.

Children who have been reported as missing, children detained overnight in police custody or identified as a concern through being arrested and children identified as being at high risk of exploitation are discussed. Children may be discussed at the meetings more than once.

Decisions are made over actions, lead professionals, risk assessment and management, and oversight. This ensures children are provided with prompt and appropriate support by knowledgeable and committed staff. This is seen as good practice and has led to good outcomes.

## $>$ Operational Missing and Child Exploitation Group (MACE)

The group has become a routine feature of the response to child exploitation and is working well. It is chaired alternately by police and CYPFS managers and there is good representation from local partner organisations. With the daily management of children at risk of, or directly involved with, child exploitation, seen as being effective the Operational MACE does not need to routinely consider these cases. This has allowed the group to focus on other threats, i.e., locations, trends and those who pose a threat of harm.
$>$ Strategic MACE
The group has good multi-agency representation and is well attended. The group reports into the PSCP Board each quarter with the chair being a full member. The group has a detailed work plan and is the primary driver of improvements.

There are concerns that the group is not fully effective. Over the past eight months it is has had three different chairs and this has affected business continuity. There are concerns that some actions are taking too long to complete.

The key activities for the group currently are:
> Completion of the Children's Society Missing Children Response Assessment Tool, a system assessment tool that will allow the partnership to assess its effectiveness in supporting children who go missing and identify improvements that can be made. It is hoped to complete this multi-agency work prior to the Strategic MACE meeting on
$>$ Review and implement the Adolescent Safety Framework. A Task and Finish Group has been established as part of the group. It is looking to understand why the ASF is not working as well as was intended, what needs to be done to make best use of it and then take action to improve its function.
> Improve the understanding of child exploitation through data collection and analysis. Currently, there is a gap in terms of data being collected, key information being recorded and this being analysed. Work is being undertaken to identify best practice and consider then how this can be introduced into Plymouth.

## Recommendations

27. The recommendations arising from this review are:
a) PSCP to identify the learning required to improve awareness, understanding and how to respond to the needs of children at risk of all forms of exploitation. Within three months.
b) PSCP to review how child exploitation referrals are responded to, allocated and assessed. Between 1 - 30 November 2023.
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c) PSCP to determine how to improve the functionality of the ASF, including identifying the best multi-agency assessment tool and the learning needed to support its widest use. Within six months.
d) PSCP's Strategic MACE Group to develop a proposal over how to gather and use child exploitation data that will enable the partnership to understand the prevalence and nature of child exploitation in Plymouth. Within three months.
e) PSCP's Strategic MACE Group to complete the Children's Society Missing Children Response Assessment Tool and identify the actions needed to improve the partnership response. By 17 October 2023 (date of Strategic MACE Group meeting).

John Clements, Independent Scrutineer, Plymouth Safeguarding Children Partnership
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## Purpose of Report

To share key performance data information related to Children Services (Children, Young People and Family Services and Education, Participation and Skills)

## Recommendations and Reasons

To note the attached briefing paper for information and discussion

## Alternative options considered and rejected

I. Not applicable

## Relevance to the Corporate Plan and/or the Plymouth Plan <br> Not applicable

## Implications for the Medium Term Financial Plan and Resource Implications: <br> For information

## Financial Risks

Not applicable
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| I.I | REFERRALS \& RE-REFERRALS |  |  | Previous Year \& Benchmarking |  |  | Current Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Indicator Name | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2020 / 21 \\ \text { Actual } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 202 \text { I/22 } \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | 2022/23 <br> Provisional | England | Statistical Neighbours | $\begin{gathered} \text { Q2 } \\ 2023 / 24 \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | Number of referrals received within the last 12 months | 3,616 | 3,285 | 2,35I | $\begin{gathered} 537.7 \\ (2021 / 22) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 713.4 \\ (2021 / 22) \end{gathered}$ | 3,093 |
|  | Referrals received within the last 12 months - Rate per 10,000 children | 678.5 | 616.4 | 441.2 |  |  | 599.0 |
| 2 | Number of re-referrals within 12 months (last 12 months) | 861 | 743 | 457 | $\begin{gathered} 21.5 \% \\ (2021 / 22) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.1 \% \\ (2021 / 22) \end{gathered}$ | 567 |
|  | \% of re-referrals within 12 months (last 12 months) | 23.8\% | 22.6\% | 19.4\% |  |  | 18.3\% |

In the 12 months to the end of quarter two, Plymouth progressed 3,093 referrals, this is 560 more than the 12 months to September 2022 and 4,90 less than the 12 months to September 202I. At a rate per 10,000 children (enabling comparisons against other authorities) Plymouth is reported at 599.0 at the end of quarter two. This is lower than Plymouth's published position for 202 I/22 (616.4), lower than statistical neighbours but higher than the England average of 537.7. The increase in referrals has created a pressure in our Initial Response Service and additional Advanced Practitioner capacity has been agreed to ensure assessments are completed.

The proportion of re-referrals received (where a referral had been received for the same child in the 12 months prior) has seen further improvements. The end of quarter two position was reported at $18.3 \%$, down I.I percentage points from Plymouth's provisional 2022/23 figure. Plymouth is currently at a level lower than the 2021/22 published level for its statistical neighbours and the England average.

Our most recent Ofsted report (published 30 January 2023) identified two priority actions for the 'Front Door', and these are being progressed through a detailed improvement plan for this part of the service. A review of progress by our Sector Led Improvement Partner, Dorset, in September identified that good progress was being made. Dorset will continue to support us to maintain momentum and progress against the Improvement Plan will continue to be reported to the Plymouth Improvement Board as well as to the MASH Strategic and Operational Boards which have been reintroduced. We continue our work to ensure thresholds are understood by partners, including issuing a revised threshold document and partnership briefings.

|  | CHILDREN IN NEED |  |  | Previous Year \& Benchmarking |  |  | Current Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Indicator Name | 2020/2 <br> Actual | $202 \text { I/22 }$ <br> Actual | 2022/23 <br> Provisional | England | Statistical Neighbours | $\begin{gathered} \text { Q2 } \\ 2023 / 24 \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | Number of children subject to a Child In Need Plan (snapshot) | I, I2 I | 965 | 944 | Not benchmarked | Not benchmarked | I,485 |
| 3 | Children subject to a Child In Need Plan Rate per 10,000 children | 210.4 | 181.1 | 177.1 | Not benchmarked | Not benchmarked | 287.6 |

Quarter two has seen an increase in Plymouth's 'Child in Need' caseload (which does not include children subject to Children Protection Plans or Looked after Children) and is currently at its highest level in recent years.

Through its recent quality assurance work, the service has identified seven areas of practice improvement and is currently working on structured plans to support practice development and Team Manager oversight in the Initial Response Service and Children's Social Work Teams to ensure robust, timely decision making, assessments and interventions for children. The seven areas of priority practice improvement are:
I. Assessment
2. Plans
3. Supervision
4. Management Oversight
5. Lived experience and voice of the child
6. Domestic Abuse
7. Neglect

As part of this practice improvement, Practice Standards setting out expectations across these seven areas have been shared with teams and a 'Leaders for Excellence' programme is in place for frontline managers to reset expectations. Our quality assurance framework is now aligned closely to these priority practice areas so that we will have the evidence from audit and dip sampling to help us evaluate whether practice is improving as we expect over time. We have a high proportion of newly qualified and newly arrived international social workers in our Children's Social Work Teams (almost 50\%) who require this targeted workforce development. This also impacts on case-holding capacity and an additional team has been resourced for six months to enable the new workers to have a supported induction programme to prepare them for case-holding more complex work.

| CHILDREN SUBJECT TO A CHILD PROTECTION PLAN |  |  |  | Previous Year \& Benchmarking |  |  | Current <br> Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Indicator Name | \| 2020/21 <br> Actual | $\begin{gathered} 202 \text { I/22 } \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | 2022/23 <br> Provisional | England | Statistical Neighbours | $\begin{gathered} Q_{2} \\ 2023 / 24 \end{gathered}$ |
| 4 | Number of children subject to a Child Protection Plan (Snapshot) | 333 | 326 | 233 | $\begin{gathered} 42.1 \\ (2021 / 22) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53.1 \\ (2021 / 22) \end{gathered}$ | 202 |
|  | Children subject to a Child Protection Plan Rate per 10,000 children | 62.5 | 61.2 | 43.7 |  |  | 39.1 |


| 5 | Category of abuse for current Child Protection Plan: Neglect | $\begin{aligned} & 50.2 \% \\ & (167) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51.5 \% \\ & (168) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45.9 \% \\ & (107) \end{aligned}$ | Not benchmarked | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { benchmarked } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 42.6\% } \\ (86) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Category of abuse for current Child Protection Plan: Physical Abuse | $\begin{gathered} 7.8 \% \\ (26) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.7 \% \\ & (25) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.4 \% \\ & \text { (15) } \end{aligned}$ | Not benchmarked | Not benchmarked | $\begin{gathered} 4.5 \% \\ (9) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Category of abuse for current Child Protection Plan: Sexual Abuse | $\begin{gathered} 5.1 \% \\ (17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.7 \% \\ & \text { (I2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.3 \% \\ & (10) \end{aligned}$ | Not benchmarked | Not benchmarked | $\begin{aligned} & 5.9 \% \\ & (12) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Category of abuse for current Child Protection Plan: Emotional Abuse | $\begin{aligned} & 36.9 \% \\ & (123) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37.1 \% \\ & (121) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43.3 \% \\ & (101) \end{aligned}$ | Not benchmarked | Not benchmarked | $\begin{gathered} \text { 47.0\% } \\ (95) \end{gathered}$ |
| 6 | \% of children subject to multiple child protection plans - Within lifetime of the child (new plans starting in last 12 months) | $\begin{gathered} 27.4 \% \\ (90) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.5 \% \\ & (103) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.7 \% \\ (65) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.3 \% \\ (2021 / 22) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.9 \% \\ (2021 / 22) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.6 \% \\ (63) \end{gathered}$ |

At the end of quarter two (30 September 2023) there were 202 children who were subject to a Child Protection Plan. This is a rate of 39.1 children per 10,000 children, which is lower than both the published 202I/22 rate of 61.2 and our provisional position for 2022/23 ( 233 children / 43.7 per 10,000 children). The rate per 10,000 children is currently 3.0 below the England average and 14.0 lower than our Statistical Neighbour average.

The proportion of children who became subject to a Child Protection Plan within the 12 months up to quarter one (October 2022 to September 2023), who have been on a previous Child Protection Plan in their lifetime was reported at $25.6 \%$.
This is at a level lower than the last four year-end positions, but we wish to improve further. During the last 12 months, 63 children/young people have started repeated Child Protection Plans (within their lifetime), this is an improvement on the 103 reported for the reporting year of $202 \mathrm{I} / 22$ and two less than our provisional figure for 2022/23 (reported at 65). The current percentage is higher than statistical neighbours and the England average. All local authorities experience repeat child protection planning processes for children.

There is a strong focus on requests for repeat Child Protection Plans with greater oversight by both the Safeguarding Service Manager and the requesting social workers' Service Manager. This provides more consistency and further opportunity to have reflective discussions to ensure that the care plan is right for these children, or whether we can work differently with the family.
The service is working with the Plymouth Safeguarding Partnership Board to roll out the 'NSPCC Neglect Graded Care Profile 2 Assessment Tool' to support all staff and volunteers working across the system to identify and improve support for children and young people who may experience neglect.

## I.4. LOOKED AFTER CHILDREN (ALSO REFERRED TO AS CHILDREN IN CARE)



On 30 September 2023, there were 486 children/young people who are children in care. This is a net decrease of 15 children on the provisional figure for 2022/23 and at a slightly lower level to the published figure of 490 for 2021/22. Unlike the levels of children subject to a Child in Need Plan that have seen an increase in recent months and levels of children subject to a Child Protection Plan that have seen reductions, the net number of children in care has been at an average of around 491 since November 2020. Our improvement work includes ensuring children's permanence plans are up to
date and working closely with our health partners to ensure children in care access health assessments and support when it is needed. This is overseen by our Corporate Parenting Board.
295 (60.7\%) of children in care are placed within the city, the remaining 191 children (39.3\%) placed outside of the city. This measure is simply based on the postcode of the child's placement address. Those not within PLI to PL7 or PL9 are considered outside of the city. For example, PLI2 refers to Saltash in Cornwall (potentially be less than a mile from a child's home address), but it is outside of Plymouth. Using provisional information, approximately $78.2 \%$ of children in care are placed within 20 miles of their home address ( 380 of 486 ). 29 children / young people were placed more than 125 miles from their home address.

There has been a slight reduction in the number of children and young people in residential settings and close tracking is in place of all children in residential to ensure plans to step them back into a family setting are progressed at the earliest appropriate opportunity. However, nationally, and locally there are significant challenges finding suitable placements for all children in care, in both residential and foster placements. There continues to be particular challenges finding a suitable home for a child in care where children and young people have complex needs. Weekly oversight of these children and young people not experiencing stable care in a placement that matches their permanence plan has been reintroduced through Children's Resource Panel.
The Fostering Recruitment Strategy is currently being refreshed to set out plans for recruiting more Plymouth Foster Carers, including looking at being part of a regional approach. However, we are competing in a challenging market with independent fostering agencies and to ensure we are competitive we are also reviewing the support we provide to our foster carers and are pleased that we have been successful in our application to establish a 'Mockingbird' model of supporting carers.
The offer made to Special Guardians, both to support the stability of current arrangements which can prevent breakdown and to reassure prospective special guardians which could result in increased discharges from care is being developed.

Please note: where the number of children is below five, the actual figure is suppressed and shows ' $x$ '.

| 1.5 | CARE EXPERIENCED (ALSO REFERRED TO AS CARE LEAVERS) |  |  | Previous Year \& Benchmarking |  |  | Current <br> Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Indicator Name | 2020/2I <br> Actual | $\begin{gathered} \text { 202 I/22 } \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | 2022/23 <br> Provisional | England | Statistical Neighbours | $\begin{gathered} \text { Q2 } \\ 2023 / 24 \end{gathered}$ |
| 10 | \% of Care Experienced young people in Unsuitable <br> Accommodation (Statutory Service (aged I8 to 20)) | $\begin{gathered} 9.6 \% \\ (17 / 178) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.1 \% \\ (11 / 181) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.1 \% \\ (8 / 192) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.0 \% \\ (2021 / 22) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.6 \% \\ (2021 / 22) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.4 \% \\ (15 / 204) \end{gathered}$ |
| 11 | \% of Care Experienced young people in Education, Employment and Training (Statutory Service (EET aged 18 to 20)) | $\begin{gathered} 52.8 \% \\ (94 / 178) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56.4 \% \\ (102 / 18 \mathrm{I}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50.0 \% \\ (96 / 192) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55.0 \% \\ & (2021 / 22) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55.7 \% \\ (2021 / 22) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49.0 \% \\ (100 / 204) \end{gathered}$ |

Plymouth's quarter two figures show that the proportion of Care Experienced young people in unsuitable accommodation was at a lower level than the statistical neighbour and England averages (as published 2021/22). The cohort of young people in unsuitable accommodation has increased from
the 202I/22 and 2022/23 figures, while remaining significantly below the England and statistical neighbour figure, this cohort is rigorously reviewed and reported on a monthly basis.
Those in Education, Employment and Training were reported at a level circa 6.0 to 6.7 percentage points lower than the published figures for our comparators.

There is an increased focus across Children's Social Care and Education, Participation and Skills to review and develop Individual SEET Plans for those young people within the 5I\% of care leavers who are not in education, employment or training but are seeking education, employment, or training.

Following a successful pilot of the "Your Future" program, a SEND Employability Fast Track Residential scheme, two further courses have been arranged and the young people are being recruited. $100 \%$ of the participants from the first course achieved positive destinations in terms of education, employment, or training.
Three care leavers have started their Undergraduate Degree courses in September 2023.

## 2. EDUCATION, PARTICIPATION AND SKILLS

| 2.I. OFSTED OUTCOMES |  |  |  | Previous Year \& Benchmarking |  |  | Current <br> Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Indicator Name | 2019/20 Actual | 2020/2I Actual | 202 I/22 <br> Actual | England | South West | $\begin{gathered} Q 2 \\ 2023 / 24 \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | \% of all schools judged by Ofsted as good or outstanding | Inspections paused due to COVID | Inspections paused due to COVID | 76.0\% | $\begin{gathered} 88.0 \% \\ \text { (Sept 2023) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84.7 \% \\ \text { (Sept 2023) } \end{gathered}$ | 83.7\% |
| 2 | \% of pupils attending Plymouth schools judged by Ofsted as good or outstanding | Inspections paused due to COVID | Inspections paused due to COVID | 77.1\% | Not benchmarked | Not benchmarked | 85.1\% |

There are 98 state-funded schools in Plymouth and at the end of quarter two $83.7 \%$ of schools are judged as Good or Outstanding. When we break this figure down in to the number of primary, secondary and special schools judge as Good or better, we can see that $85.5 \%$ of primary schools are rated as good or better (compared to $89.6 \%$ nationally), $73.7 \%$ of secondary schools are rated as good or better (compared to $80.2 \%$ nationally), and $85.7 \%$ of special schools are rated as good or better (compared to $84.7 \%$ nationally).
Overall, 85 .I\% of pupils are attending a school judged as good or outstanding in our city.

| 2.2 | ABSENCE MONITORING |  |  | Previous Year \& Benchmarking |  |  | Current Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Indicator Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { 20 19/20 } \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | \| 2020/2| <br> Actual | $2021 / 22$ <br> Actual | England (Autumn and Spring 2022/23) | South West (Autumn and Spring Term 2022/23) | Autumn and Spring Term 2022/23 |
| 3 | \% of overall absence in all schools | Not reported | 4.9\% | 8.8\% | 7.3\% | 7.6\% | 8.1\% |
| 4 | \% of persistent absence (less than 90\% attendance) in all schools | Not reported | 12.9\% | 28.1\% | 21.2\% | 21.9\% | 24.4\% |
| 5 | \% of persistent absence (less than 90\% attendance) of pupils with Education, Health and Care plans (EHCPs) | Not reported | 38.8\% | 45.0\% | 36.9\% | - | Available March 2024 |

The information provided above is provided from the Department for Education "Absence rates" collection. This is a statutory return completed on a termly basis. The best available report is for the 2022/23 Autumn and Spring Term. Data was published on the $19^{\text {th }}$ October 2023.

Local unvalidated data from the Plymouth Inclusion Scorecard showed that the overall absence rate for the 2022/23 Autumn and Spring term was $8.1 \%$. The DfE Published data confirms this and benchmarks us above the regional rate of $7.6 \%$ and national rate of $7.3 \%$. The Plymouth Inclusion

Scorecard indicates that the overall absence rate for the whole 2022/23 academic year which falls within quarter two, is likely to sit at $8.9 \%$ - The DfE will publish validated data in March 2024.
Moving into this academic year our Place based approach is focused on inclusion, particularly the attendance, mobility and outcomes for children who experience disadvantage. Initially this will be through a working group led by SEND 4 Change who have been commissioned to produce a set of recommendations for city education leaders.

The new national Attendance expectations for local authorities, multi academy trusts and schools is reshaping the work done by each of these partners with regard to attendance. Plymouth City Council are working with schools and trusts to ensure that this is implemented successfully. The first attendance network was held in July and there will be a further attendance conference in November. The new duties focus the work of schools towards direct engagement with their families and focuses the work of the local authority towards strategic oversight, with a particular focus on vulnerable groups and children with SEND.

A city wide campaign was set up for the start of the Autumn term 2023 which will promote strong attendance. Attendance is a key focus for all schools. Multi agency work to support those pupils who are severely absent is a focus for the locality work.

|  | EDUCATION, EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING |  |  | Previous Year \& Benchmarking |  |  | Current Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Indicator Name | $\begin{gathered} 2020 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $2021$ <br> Actual | $\begin{gathered} 2022 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | England | Statistical Neighbours | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ql } \\ \text { 2022/23 } \end{gathered}$ |
| 6 | \% of 16 and 17 year olds in Education, Employment and Training | 92.1\% | 92.1\% | 91.1\% | $\begin{gathered} 92.5 \% \\ (\mathrm{Q} 4 \\ 2022 / 23) \end{gathered}$ | Not benchmarked | 91.9\% |
| 7 | \% of 16 and 17 year olds with SEND in Education, Employment and Training | 87.7\% | 83.1\% | 83.2\% | $\begin{gathered} 88.7 \% \\ (\mathrm{Q} 4 \\ 2022 / 23) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { benchmarked }}{\text { Not }}$ | 81.5\% |

At the end of quarter one 2022/23, $91.9 \%$ of 16 and 17 year olds were participating in Education, Employment, and/or Training (EET) and 81.5\% of young people with Special Educational Needs and/or Disabilities are in education, training and/or employment.
110 young people have moved from being not in education, employment and training (NEET) to seeking (SEET), many of these young people need extensive support to transition into employment, education or training. This is an area of focus for the Participation and Skills areas of EPS in Plymouth City Council. A number of initiatives are under way to extend the options available to 16-25 year olds with EHCPs which includes growing the number of Supported Internships, Supported Apprenticeships, paid and unpaid volunteering placements and the newly developed 'Your Future' 5 Work readiness programme which includes a one week residential delivered by On Course South West.

|  | KEY STAGE 4 OUTCOMES |  |  | Previous Year \& Benchmarking |  |  | Current <br> Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Indicator Name | $\text { \| } \begin{gathered} \text { 2019/20 } \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020/2I } \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | 202 I/22 <br> Actual | England | South West | $2022 / 23$ <br> Provisional |
| 8 | Key Stage 4 - \% of pupils achieving 5+ in English and Maths | 47.1\% | 51.9\% | 45.9\% | $\begin{gathered} 45.3 \% \\ \text { (22/23 prov.) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44.7 \% \\ \text { (22/23 prov.) } \end{gathered}$ | 41.3\% |
| 9 | Key Stage 4 - <br> Average Attainment 8 score | $48.5$ points | $\begin{gathered} 50.5 \\ \text { points } \end{gathered}$ | $47.5$ points | 46.2 points (22/23 prov.) | $\begin{gathered} 46.3 \\ \text { points } \\ \text { (22/23 prov.) } \end{gathered}$ | 44.8 points |

In 2022/23, 41.3\% of pupils achieved the 'basics' (5+ in English and Maths). This sits below National ( $45.3 \%$ ) and regional ( $44.7 \%$ ) averages. Performance in Plymouth is 4.6 percentage points lower than the previous academic year, which is in line with the decrease seen nationally from $50.0 \%$ to $45.3 \%$ (4.7pp reduction).

The average Attainment 8 score is 44.8 points this is below the national ( 46.2 points) and regional (46.3 points) averages.

The attainment of specific pupil cohorts such as those eligible for Free School Meals or those with a Special Educational Need will be made available alongside the revised publication in February 2024.

Moving into this academic year our Place based approach is focused on inclusion, particularly the attendance, mobility and outcomes for children who experience disadvantage. Initially this will be through a working group led by SEND 4 Change who have been commissioned to produce a set of recommendations for city education leaders.

## 3. ANNEX I: INDICATOR DEFINITIONS

## CHILDREN, YOUNG PEOPLE AND FAMILY SERVICES

## Referrals \& Re-Referrals

- Where concerns about a child have been raised to Children, Young People and Family Services, once the initial contact has been screened by our multi-agency hub, if appropriate, referrals will be accepted.
- The rate of referrals per 10,000 children is based on the number of referrals received in the 12 month period divided by the number of children aged 0 to 17 in the city (ONS estimate this at 53,29I for Mid2021 ) multiplied by 10,000 . This gives a rate that can be benchmarked against other authorities.
- A re-referral is where we receive a new referral for a child within 12 months of a previous referral. The indicator is based on a rolling 12 month period (e.g., 01 December to 30 November).


## Children In Need - CIN

- For the purposes of this report, the number of children within the CIN cohort are those that have been assessed as being in need (but not CP or LAC) and the number of children who are in the process of being assessed to understand their level of need.
- The rate of CIN per 10,000 children is based on the number of CIN at the end of the period divided by the number of children aged 0 to 17 in the city (ONS estimate this at 53,29I for Mid-202I) multiplied by 10,000 . This gives a rate that can be benchmarked against other authorities.


## Children subject to a Child Protection Plan - CP

- A Child Protection Plan should assess the likelihood of the child suffering harm and look at ways that the child can be protected. It should decide upon short and long term aims to reduce the likelihood of harm to the child and to protect the child's welfare, clarify people's responsibilities and actions to be taken; and outline ways of monitoring and evaluating progress.
- The rate of $C P$ per 10,000 children is based on the number of $C P$ at the end of the period divided by the number of children aged 0 to 17 in the city (ONS estimate this at 53,29I for Mid-2021) multiplied by 10,000 . This gives a rate that can be benchmarked against other authorities.
- There are four categories for a Child Protection Plan; Neglect, Physical Abuse, Sexual Abuse and Emotional Abuse. The table shows the number and proportion under each category.
- The \% of children subject to multiple child protection plans is the proportion of new Child Protection Plan starting within the period, that are for a child who has had a previous Child Protection Plan at any time in the child's lifetime. The indicator is based on a rolling 12 month period (e.g., the proportion of new Child Protection Plans that started in 01 December to 30 November).


## Looked After Children (also referred to as Children In Care) - LAC

- The table shows the number of Looked After Children at the end of the reporting period.
- The rate of LAC per 10,000 children is based on the number of LAC at the end of the period divided by the number of children aged 0 to 17 in the city (ONS estimate this at 53,29I for Mid-202I) multiplied by 10,000 . This gives a rate that can be benchmarked against other authorities.
- The proportion of placements outside of the city is simply based on the postcode of the child's placement address. Those not within PLI to PL7 or PL9 are considered outside of the city. For example, PLI2 refers to Saltash in Cornwall, which could potentially be less than a mile from a child's home address, but it is outside of Plymouth.
- The figures provided for the placement types follow the Department for Education and Ofsted definitions, grouping multiple types into nine groups (for example, Family Placements contains six different placement types).


## Care Experienced (also referred to as Care Leavers)

- What is deemed as 'Unsuitable' accommodation has been defined by the Department for Education. The following are examples of unsuitable accommodation: Bed and Breakfast / Emergency Accommodation, Prison, Temporary/No fixed abode/Street Homeless, Unknown/Not in Touch.
- The proportion of Care Experienced young people in Education, Employment and Training is based on our statutory service and therefore covers those young people ages 18 to 20. Whilst we work with Care Experienced young people aged 21 to 24 , support is optional for the young person.
- Please note: The figures are likely to be different to the published Department for Education figures as they look at the age of the young person during the year at the period around their birthday and not at a specific snapshot.


## EDUCATION, PARTICIPATION AND SKILLS

## Ofsted Outcomes

Ofsted is responsible for inspecting schools and other social care services for children. There are four possible Ofsted ratings that a school can receive; Outstanding, Good, Requires Improvement or Inadequate. These Ofsted grades are based on inspectors' iudgements across four Ofsted categories - quality of education, behaviour and attitudes, personal development of pupils, leadership and management as set out under the Ofsted framework 2019.

## Absence Monitoring

It is the legal responsibility of every parent to make sure their child receives education either by attendance at a school or by education otherwise than at a school. Where parents decide to have their child registered at school, they have an additional legal duty to ensure their child attends that school regularly. Some pupils find it harder than others to attend school and therefore at all stages of improving attendance, schools and partners should work with pupils and parents to remove any barriers to attendance as set out in Working together to improve school attendance.

## Education, Employment or Training

The law requires all young people in England to continue in education or training until at least their 18th birthday, although in practice the vast majority of young people continue until the end of the academic year in which they turn 18. The responsibility and accountability for young people not in education, employment and training (NEET) lies with the local authority and is set out in Participation Statutory Guidance. The Department for Education (DfE) monitors the performance of local authorities in delivering their duties, and specifically in their tracking and supporting of 16 and 17 year olds.

## Key Stage Four Outcomes

Key Stage 4 (KS4) is the legal term for the two years of school education which incorporate GCSEs (General Certificate of Secondary Education). During this time, pupils must follow relevant programmes of study from the National Curriculum. At the end of this stage, pupils are entered for a range of external examinations. Following a phased introduction since 2017, GCSEs taken in 2020 and 202 I are all reformed GCSEs graded on a 9-I scale. Two particular measures are commonly reported on at key stage four:

- percentage pupils achieving 5-9s at English and Maths GCSE in the city, and
- average Attainment 8 scores achieved by schools across the city.

Attainment 8 is calculated by adding together pupils' highest scores across eight government approved school subjects. While these numbers are not made publicly available on a pupil-by-pupil basis, scores taken from across a school year group are averaged to produce a school's overall score. The eight subjects are divided into three categories, called "buckets":

- Bucket I - English and maths, which are worth double marks, but English will only count for double marks if both English literature and English (i.e., English language) are taken. The higher grade of the two is used;
- Bucket 2 - The top three scores from the English Baccalaureate (EBacc) subjects taken, i.e. sciences, computer science, history, geography and languages;
- Bucket 3 - The top three scores from remaining EBacc subjects or other government approved qualifications (e.g., other GCSEs or Level 2 Certificates in some technical subjects).

The grades are converted into points, put through a formula and finally out comes the school's Attainment 8 score.
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## Purpose of Report

The purpose of this report is to inform members around the budget for Children's Services for 2023/24 and the monitoring position at Quarter 2

## Recommendations and Reasons

Education and Children's Social Care Overview and Scrutiny Committee notes the Children's Services Finance report.

## Alternative options considered and rejected N/A

## Relevance to the Corporate Plan and/or the Plymouth Plan

This finance report links to the following Corporate Plan priorities; Working with the NHS to provide better access to health, care and dentistry, and Keeping children, adults and communities safe.

Implications for the Medium Term Financial Plan and Resource Implications:
Provides information about budgets set in line with the Medium Term Financial Plan

## Financial Risks

N/A information only

## Carbon Footprint (Environmental) Implications:

N/A
Other Implications: e.g. Health and Safety, Risk Management, Child Poverty:

* When considering these proposals members have a responsibility to ensure they give due regard to the Council's duty to promote equality of opportunity, eliminate unlawful discrimination and promote good relations between people who share protected characteristics under the Equalities Act and those who do not.
N/A


## Appendices

*Add rows as required to box below

| Ref. | Title of Appendix | Exemption Paragraph Number (if applicable) If some/all of the information is confidential, you must indicate why it is not for publication by virtue of Part lof Schedule I2A of the Local Government Act 1972 by ticking the relevant box. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| A | Children's Finance - Scrutiny November 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Background papers:

*Add rows as required to box below
Please list all unpublished, background papers relevant to the decision in the table below. Background papers are unpublished works, relied on to a material extent in preparing the report, which disclose facts or matters on which the report or an important part of the work is based.

| Title of any background paper(s) | Exemption Paragraph Number (if applicable) <br> If some/all of the information is confidential, you must indicate why it is not for publication by virtue of Part I of Schedule I2A of the Local Government Act 1972 by ticking the relevant box. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sign off:

| Fin | DJN.2 <br> 3.24.13 <br> 6 | Leg | Click <br> here <br> to <br> enter <br> text. | Mon <br> Off | Click <br> here <br> to <br> enter <br> text. | HR | Click <br> here <br> to <br> enter <br> text. | Asset <br> $\mathbf{s}$ | Click <br> here <br> to <br> enter <br> text. | Strat <br> Proc | Click here <br> to enter <br> text. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Originating Senior Leadership Team member: David Haley

Please confirm the Strategic Director(s) has agreed the report?
Date agreed: 30/I 0/2023

Cabinet Member approval: Councillor Laing, approved via email
Date approved: 30/I0/2023

## CHILDRENS DIRECTORATE FINANCE

Quarter2

- The Children, Young People \& Families Budget for $2023 / 24$ is set at $£ 50.092$ m. This is a decrease from 2022/23 of $£ 1.289 \mathrm{~m}$.
- The Children, Young People \& Families service was given a budget uplift of $£ 3.053 \mathrm{~m}$ in $2023 / 24$ to allow for expected cost \& volume pressures within Placements, resulting from increases to National Living Wage, Cost of Living \& Inflation. Additional budget has also been allocated due to the 2023/24 pension contribution.
- Additional Medium Term Financial Planning targets were also identified by the service of $£ 4.575 \mathrm{~m}$, to give a net budget decrease of $£ 1.289 \mathrm{~m}$

| CHILDREN, YOUNG PEOPLE \& FAMILIES - Budget by Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 / 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 I 9 / 2 0}$ | $2020 / 2 \mathrm{I}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 I / 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2 / 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3 / 2 4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{£ m}$ | $\mathbf{£ m}$ | $\mathbf{£ m}$ | $\mathbf{£ m}$ | $\mathbf{£ m}$ | $\mathbf{£ m}$ |
| Budget | 35.437 | 37.277 | 42.515 | 43.106 | 51.381 | 50.092 |
| Increase/(Decrease) |  | 1.840 | 5.238 | 0.591 | 8.275 | $(1.289)$ |


| 2023/24 Budgetary Changes | £m |
| :--- | :---: |
| Additional Cost \& Volume | 3.053 |
| Pension Increase Adjustment | 0.233 |
| Reduce the use of residential care, increase the use of foster care <br> and work to ensure children in care can return to their families or a <br> connected person in their lives | $(2.275)$ |
| Work with families to keep more children at home | $(1.627)$ |
| Service \& Budget Realignment | $\mathbf{( 1 . 2 8 9 )}$ |

- The Education, Participation and Skills Budget for $2023 / 24$ is set at $£ 12.227 \mathrm{~m}$. This is an increase from 2022/23 of $£ 1.478 \mathrm{~m}$.
- Education, Participation and Skills were given a budget uplift of $£ 1.370 \mathrm{~m}$ in 2023/24 to allow for the additional pressures within Home to School Transport and SEND Short Breaks. There was also an adjustment for the 2023/24 Pension contributions.

| EDUCATION, PARTICIPATION AND SKILLS - Budget by Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 / 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9 / 2 0}$ | $2020 / 2 \mathrm{I}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 I / 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2 / 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3 / 2 4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{£ m}$ | $\mathbf{£ m}$ | $\mathbf{£ m}$ | $\mathbf{£ m}$ | $\mathbf{£ m}$ | $\mathbf{£ m}$ |
| Budget | 10.106 | 9.658 | 10.546 | 10.462 | 10.749 | 12.227 |
| Increase/(Decrease) |  | $(0.449)$ | 0.888 | $(0.084)$ | 0.287 | 1.478 |

- The below table breaks down the delivery plan savings targets identified for 2023/24.

| 2023/24 Delivery Plans | fm |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Identify more appropriate placement settings for those in high-cost packages | I .400 |  |  |
| Reunify Looked After Children back to a family environment | 0.500 |  |  |
| Increase our In-House Fostering sufficiency | 0.450 |  |  |
| Utilising Grant Funding to maximise revenue funds available to the Authority | 0.650 |  |  |
| CYPF Management - In Year Savings through Budget Monitoring | 0.225 |  |  |
| EPS Management - In Year Savings through Budget Monitoring | 0.717 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3 . 9 4 2}$ |  |  |  |

## Quarter 2 Monitoring Position

- At Quarter 2, the Children, Young People and Families department are showing an adverse variance to budget of $£ 4.684 \mathrm{~m}$.
- This adverse variance is primarily down to an increase in high cost Residential and Bespoke packages. Supported Living has also seen an increase in weekly placement costs.
- The authority is currently backdating payments to our Special Guardianship carers as per a recent SGO Judicial Review ( $£ 0.618 \mathrm{~m}$ )
- The department have also declared non-achievable savings targets of $£ 1.627 \mathrm{~m}$, which relates to the MTFP plan involving working with families to keep more children at home.

Children's Quarter 2
Monitoring

| Budget Areas | 2023/24 <br> Approved <br> Budget | Quarter <br> I <br> Forecast | Quarter <br> $\mathbf{2}$ <br> Forecast | Variance to <br> Budget | Movement <br> in Quarter |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fm | fm | fm | $\mathbf{f m}$ | fm |  |
| CYPF |  |  |  |  |  |
| Services |  |  |  |  |  |
| Placements \& Packages | 33.961 | 35.921 | 38.538 | 4.578 | 2.618 |
| Permanency | 3.85 I | 3.85 I | 3.923 | 0.072 | 0.072 |
| Childrens Social Work | 3.732 | 3.732 | 3.792 | 0.060 | 0.060 |
| Targeted | 3.030 | 3.030 | 2.958 | $(0.072)$ | $(0.072)$ |
| Plymouth Referral and Assmnt | 2.868 | 2.868 | 2.808 | $(0.060)$ | $(0.060)$ |
| CYPF Central Costs | 1.957 | 1.957 | 1.957 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| QA Safeguarding and Bus Suppt | 1.791 | 1.791 | 1.791 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Adoption | 1.161 | 1.267 | 1.267 | 0.106 | 0.000 |
| CAMHS Specialist Services | 0.610 | 0.610 | 0.610 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Virtual School | 0.356 | 0.356 | 0.356 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Delivery Plans | $(3.225)$ | $(3.225)$ | $(3.225)$ | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Total CYPF | 50.092 | 52.159 | 54.776 | 4.684 | 2.618 |

- At Quarter 2, the EPS department are showing an adverse variance to budget of $£ 1.453 \mathrm{~m}$. This adverse variance is primarily down to SEND Transport - 2023/24 budget was right sized with $£ 1.000 \mathrm{~m}$ but since then new routes and new children have met the statutory threshold and are now realising a $£ 1.453 \mathrm{~m}$ pressure.

| Budget Areas | 2023/24 <br> Approved Budget | Quarter I <br> Forecast | Quarter <br> 2 <br> Forecast | Variance to Budget | Movement in Quarter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | fm | fm | fm | fm | fm |
| EPS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Services |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transport | 5.849 | 6.701 | 7.302 | 1.453 | 0.601 |
| SEND | 3.640 | 3.640 | 3.640 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Centrally Managed Schools Exp | 1.234 | 1.234 | 1.234 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Educational Psychology | 0.649 | 0.649 | 0.649 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| On Course South West | 0.498 | 0.498 | 0.498 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| PIAS \& Parenting | 0.435 | 0.435 | 0.435 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| School Improvement | 0.366 | 0.366 | 0.366 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Management | 0.341 | 0.341 | 0.341 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Organisation | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Inclusion | 0.223 | 0.223 | 0.223 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Skills and Post 16 | 0.062 | 0.062 | 0.062 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Outdoor education | 0.021 | 0.021 | 0.021 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Admissions | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Early Years | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Services for Schools | (0.056) | (0.056) | (0.056) | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Education Services Grant | (0.634) | (0.634) | (0.634) | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Delivery Plans | (0.717) | (0.717) | (0.717) | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Total EPS | 12.227 | 13.079 | 13.680 | 1.453 | 0.601 |
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